

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO. 5279 OF 2024

Arvind Ramsubhag Chaurasiya ...Applicant

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra and Anr. ...Respondents

....

Mr. Viresh Purwant, Advocate for the Applicant.

Mr. S. V. Walve, A.P.P. for the Respondent – State.

Ms. Barsha Parulekar, Advocate for the Respondent No.2.

....

CORAM : N. R. BORKAR, J.
DATE : 07.03.2025.

P.C.:

1. This is an application for regular bail.
2. The applicant came to be arrested in Crime No. 232 of 2024 registered at Rahimatpur Police Station, Dist-Satara for the offences punishable under Sections 376, 376(2)(n), 376(2)A, 376(2)(B), 376(2)(f), 376(2) of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 4, 6, 8, 10 & 12 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act.
3. The victim is the daughter of the present applicant. The allegations against the present applicant are of penetrative sexual assault.
4. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that

the victim for extraneous reasons has made false allegations not only against the present applicant but also against her brothers. It is submitted that the version of the prosecutrix is not at all probable. It is submitted that considering the facts and circumstances of the case the applicant be released on bail.

5. On the other hand, the learned APP for the respondent/State submits that no daughter would make false allegations of such nature. It is submitted that considering the nature of offence the applicant may not be released on bail.

6. The learned counsel for the respondent No.2/victim on instructions submits that due to misunderstanding the report was lodged. It is submitted that the victim has no objection if the applicant is released on bail.

7. I have perused the statement of the victim. At this stage, I am not inclined to release the applicant on bail. However, considering the facts and circumstances of the case the trial Court is directed to conclude the trial within a period of six months from the date of receipt of the copy of this order. Liberty to apply afresh if the trial is not concluded by that time.

8. The application is disposed of in the above terms.

(N. R. BORKAR, J.)