

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO. 2892 OF 2024

Ragini Amit Bhosale	...Applicant
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra	...Respondent

....

Ms. Manisha Devkar a/w Mr. Shankar Katkar, Ms. Siddhi Patil,
Advocate for the Applicant.

Mrs. P. S. Rane, A.P.P. for the Respondent – State.

Mr. Avinash Waragade, (PC) Satara Taluka Police Station,
present.

....

CORAM	:	N. R. BORKAR, J.
DATE	:	21st APRIL, 2025

P.C.:

1. This is an application for regular bail.
2. The applicant came to be arrested in Crime No. 32 of 2023 registered at Satara Taluka Police Station, District : Satara for the offences punishable under Sections 302, 506(2), 120-B r/w Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 3 (25) r/w 4 (25) of the Arms Act and Sections 37(1) r/w 135 of the Maharashtra Police Act.

3. The deceased was the husband of the present applicant. It is alleged that the deceased was having extra marital affairs. It is alleged that the applicant was thus annoyed with the deceased and with a view to kill the deceased hired the other co-accused in the present crime. It is alleged that on the date of incident which took place on 23.01.2023, the co-accused in the present crime committed the murder of the deceased at the instance of the present applicant.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the applicant and learned A.P.P for the Respondent-State.

5. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that applicant has nothing to do with the alleged crime. It is submitted that the applicant has two minor sons and there is nobody to look after them. It is submitted that the applicant is in jail for two years and the trial has not commenced.

6. On the other hand, the learned A.P.P for the Respondent-State submits that there is material to show that the applicant was in contact with the other co-accused. It is submitted that the report was lodged by the present applicant

against the deceased in relation to the alleged extra marital affair of the deceased. It is submitted that considering the nature of offence, the applicant may not be released on bail.

7. The case is based on circumstantial evidence. The applicant is in jail for two years and the trial has not commenced. Considering the said facts, I am inclined to release the applicant on bail.

ORDER

- (i) Criminal Bail Application is allowed;
- (ii) The applicant is directed to be released on bail in connection with Crime No. 32 of 2023 registered at Satara Taluka Police Station, District : Satara for the offences punishable under Sections 302, 506(2), 120-B r/w Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 3 (25) r/w 4 (25) of the Arms Act and Sections 37(1) r/w 135 of the Maharashtra Police Act, on executing P.R. Bond in the sum of Rs.25,000/- with one or two sureties in the like amount;
- (iii) The applicant shall attend the concerned Police Station once in a month i.e. on first Saturday between 11:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. till conclusion of trial;
- (iv) Application stands disposed of accordingly.

(N. R. BORKAR, J.)