

VRJ

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

BAIL APPLICATION NO.320 OF 2024

VAIBHAV
RAMESH
JADHAV
Digitally signed by
VAIBHAV
RAMESH JADHAV
Date: 2025.02.14
19:46:43 +0530

Amit Arun Shinde ... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra ... Respondent

**WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.568 OF 2024
IN
BAIL APPLICATION NO.320 OF 2024**

Rohit Sudhir Otari ... Applicant

In the matter between

Amit Arun Shinde ... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra ... Respondent

**WITH
BAIL APPLICATION NO.1358 OF 2024**

Sahebrao Subrao Shelke ... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra ... Respondent

**WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.3529 OF 2024
IN
BAIL APPLICATION NO.1358 OF 2024**

Rohit Sudhir Otari ... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra ... Respondent

**WITH
BAIL APPLICATION NO.1471 OF 2024**

Balaso Krushnat Dhangar ... Applicant

V/s.
The State of Maharashtra ... Respondent

WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.3530 OF 2024
IN
BAIL APPLICATION NO.1471 OF 2024

Rohit Sudhir Otari ... Applicant

In the matter between

Rohit Sudhir Otari ... Applicant

V/s.
The State of Maharashtra ... Respondent

WITH
BAIL APPLICATION NO.4855 OF 2024

Rajesh Maruti Padalkar ... Applicant

V/s.
The State of Maharashtra ... Respondent

Mr. Sanjeev Kadam, Senior Advocate with Mr. Ramanik Pawar and Samiksha Pawar for the applicant in BA/4855/2024.

Mr. Tushar N. Sonawane for the applicant in BA/1358/2024.

Mr. B. S. Shinde for the applicant in BA/320/2024.

Ms. Poonam P. Bhosale, APP for the State.

CORAM : AMIT BORKAR, J.

DATED : FEBRUARY 14, 2025

P.C.:

1. In the matter of *Shekhar Prasad Mahto @ Shekhar Kushwaha vs. The Registrar General, Jharkhand High Court & Anr.*

(Writ Petition (Criminal) No. 55 of 2025), the Hon'ble Supreme Court has clearly observed that when bail applications emanating from the same First Information Report (FIR) are assigned to different Single Judges or Benches within a High Court, it is both desirable and necessary that such applications be consolidated and placed before one learned Judge. This directive is intended to ensure that consistency and uniformity are maintained in the judicial process, thereby precluding the possibility of contradictory outcomes. It is further noted that in circumstances where a change in the roster results in the learned Judge, who was previously handling bail matters, no longer being assigned such cases, the aforementioned directive would not extend its application.

2. In view of the cogent observations of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Shekhar Prasad Mahto @ Shekhar Kushwaha* (supra), it is accordingly directed that the present bail application be placed before the regular Bench currently designated for handling such matters, as per the prevailing roster.

(AMIT BORKAR, J.)