

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1385 OF 2024

Ajay Popat Makhare
and another

.....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra

.....Respondent

Mr. Vedant Gurav, Advocate a/w. Vaibhav Chaudhary i/b.
Manoj Jaiswal for the Applicants.

Smt.M.M. Deshmukh, APP for the Respondent-State.

**CORAM : SARANG V. KOTWAL &
S.M. MODAK, JJ.**

DATE : 05th FEBRUARY, 2025

P.C. :

1. Not on board. Taken on the production board because according to the learned counsel for the Applicants a conditional order was passed on 27.1.2025 mentioning that the office objections shall be removed on or before 10.2.2025 and if the office objections were not removed, the matter was to stand dismissed without further reference to the Court on 11.2.2025. The first paragraph of the said order mentions that leave to amend to add the original complainant as Respondent

No.2 was granted, but, the addition was to be carried out 'forthwith'.

2. Learned counsel for the Applicants submitted that he missed the word 'forthwith'. He was under the impression that the addition could be done subsequently. The removal of the office objections depended on carrying out that amendment. He submitted that because of the inadvertence, the word 'forthwith' was missed and, therefore, the matter is likely to be dismissed.

3. Considering this genuine difficulty, time to carry out amendment is extended by a period of one week from today. Thereafter issue notice to the added Respondent No.2, returnable on 26.3.2025. The office objections shall be removed before that date. Stand over to 26.3.2025.

(S.M. MODAK, J.)

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)

Deshmane (PS)

Digitally signed
by
PRADIPKUMAR
PRAKASHRAO
DESHMANE
Date:
2025.02.07
18:58:40
+0530