

Shailaja

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.1015 OF 2024

Abhijeet Kumar Sathe	]	Appellant
Vs.		
The State of Maharashtra and others	]	Respondents

.....

Mr. Satyavrat P. Joshi a/w Mr. Yash Fadtare i/b Ms. Sakshi S. Mane,
for Appellant.

Mr. P.H. Gaikwad, A.P.P., for Respondent – State.

.....

CORAM : PRITHVIRAJ K. CHAVAN, J.

DATE : 15th January, 2025.

P.C:

1. Heard learned Counsel for the appellant.

2. Learned Counsel for the appellant seeks leave to amend to implead Mr. Bhausaheb Dhole, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Pune (Rural) as party respondent. Leave granted. Amendment to be carried out forthwith. After carrying out amendment, notice be issued to the respondents returnable on **29th January, 2025**. An affidavit of service be filed before the returnable date.

3. I have perused the impugned order passed below “Exhibit 1” in Criminal Misc. Application No.37 of 2024 by the Special Judge, under SC ST Act, Pune dated 16th July, 2024. Certain glaring and shocking aspects have been brought to fore by the learned Counsel as to how not only the learned Special Judge, under the SC ST Act, Pune passed the impugned order but also the concerned Police Department including the Superintendent of Police have violated the provisions enunciated in the Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribe (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989.

4. Mr. Gaikwad, learned A.P.P seeks time to take instructions from all the concerned and to make a statement on the next date.

5. Learned Counsel for the appellant is at liberty to tender the copy of the complaint made to the Superintendent of Police and the Police Station along with a short synopsis.

6. List on 29th January, 2025.

[PRITHVIRAJ K. CHAVAN, J.]