

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION***

***WRIT PETITION NO.6722 OF 2024***

Sitendra Sohanlal Sharma and Anr. ...Petitioners  
Versus  
State of Maharashtra and Anr. ...Respondents

Mr. Datta Mane for the Petitioners.

Ms. P. P. Shinde, Addl.P.P for the Respondent No.1-State.

PSI – Satish Nawale from Kalamboli Police Station, Raigad, is present.

***CORAM : REVATI MOHITE DERE &  
SANDESH D. PATIL, JJ.***

***DATE : 19<sup>th</sup> NOVEMBER 2025***

***P.C. :***

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the dispute has been amicably settled between the petitioners and the respondent No.2. Learned counsel relied on the consent affidavit filed by the respondent No.2, which is at page 246 of the petition.

2. Respondent No.2 is not present in Court today. The police to depute a person from the police station, so as to inform the respondent No.2 of the next date and to record the statement of the

respondent No.2, whether he has filed the consent affidavit in the aforesaid petition. The said statement to be produced before this Court, on the next date.

3. Learned Counsel for the petitioners to inform the advocate who has filed his Vakalatnama on behalf of the respondent No.2, of the next date, so as to enable him to appear before this Court on the next date alongwith his client.

4. Stand over to 3<sup>rd</sup> December 2025.

*SANDESH D. PATIL, J.*

*REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.*