



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 3828 OF 2025

Sharmila Maddhesiya & Ors.

..Petitioners

Vs.

The State Of Maharashtra & Anr.

..Respondents

Adv. Gayatri Gokhale a/w. Adv. Sneha Jethwa, Adv. Zainba Abdi for
Petitioners.

Mr. Ashish I. Satpute, APP for Respondent No.1-State.

Adv. Ajinkya Palav for Respondent No.2.

Respondent No.2 present in person.

Mr. Raju Chavan, API, Sakinaka Police Station, Mumbai.

CORAM : A. S. GADKARI AND
RAJESH S. PATIL, JJ.

DATE : 15th July, 2025.

PC.:-

1) Petitioners i.e. mother-in-law (Petitioner No.1); father-in-law (Petitioner No.2) and husband (Petitioner No.3) of the Respondent No.2 have filed this Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, for quashing of C.C. No.1219/PW/2024, pending on the file of the Judicial Magistrate First Class (Court No.66), Andheri, Mumbai, arising out of C.R. No.18 of 2024, registered with Sakinaka Police Station, Mumbai, dated 4th January 2024, under Sections 498-A, 377, 323, 504, 506 read with 34 of

the Indian Penal Code, with the consent of the Respondent No.2, the informant.

2) Mr. Palav, learned Advocate appearing for the Respondent No.2 tendered across the Bar her Affidavit dated 15th July 2025, duly affirmed before a Notary Public. It is stated therein that, the Petitioner No.3 and Respondent No.2 have jointly filed Consent Terms in Petition No. “A” 2706 of 2024 before the Family Court at Bandra, Mumbai. That, in view of the said amicable settlement between the parties, the Respondent No.2 has no objection for quashing of the said crime. In Paragraph No.7 thereof, the Respondent No.2 has given her consent to quash the said crime and has stated that, she has no grievance/complaint against the Petitioners. It is further stated in Paragraph No. 9 that, she is filing present Affidavit on her own free will, without any force, pressure, coercion, undue influence or inducement from the Petitioners and/or their family members or any other person (s) of any manner whatsoever.

2.1) The Respondent No.2 is personally present in the Court and through her Advocate reiterates the contents of her Affidavit dated 15th July 2025 and her ‘No Objection’ for quashing of the crime in question.

3) In view thereof, Petition is allowed in terms of prayer clause (B).

(RAJESH S. PATIL, J.)

(A.S. GADKARI, J.)