

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

Writ Petition No.1999 of 2024

Neelesh Satish Kanade ... Petitioner

V/s.

State of Maharashtra & anr. ... Respondents.

Mr. Sheetal M. Kumar, Advocate Rahul Jhaveri i/b. Advocate
Kushan Kumar, Advocate Sanika Bindra for the Petitioner.

Mr. Y.M. Nakhwa, APP for the State.

Mr. Ameya A. Dange (appeared through V.C.) for the Respondent
No.2.

**CORAM : SARANG V. KOTWAL
& S.M. MODAK, JJ.**

DATE : 1st April 2025.

P.C. :

Heard Ms. Sheetal Kumar, the learned Counsel appearing for the Petitioner, Mr. Ameya Dange, the learned Counsel appearing through video conferencing for the Respondent No.2 and Mr. Nakhwa, the learned APP appearing for the State.

2. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner invited our attention to the FIR. Learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2 also made his submissions. The investigation is still in progress. At this stage, it is not possible to give finding in favour of either of the parties merely based on the allegations in the FIR. Learned APP states that appropriate police report will be filed within a period of four weeks

Digitally
signed by
LATA
SUNIL
PANJWANI
Date:
2025.04.04
12:04:19
+0530

LATA
SUNIL
PANJWANI

from today. The statement is recorded and accepted.

3. Based on this statement, the learned counsel for the Petitioner does not press this petition at this stage, however, she seeks liberty to adopt appropriate proceedings in accordance with law if and when the police report is filed.

4. The Writ Petition is allowed to be withdrawn with such liberty.

(S.M. MODAK, J.)

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J)