

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

Digitally signed
by SNEHA
ABHAY DIXIT
Date: 2025.04.15
10:57:53 +0530

**INTERIM APPLICATION NO.12894 OF 2024
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.14582 OF 2023**

Rajendra Manohar Kowli .. Applicant-Petitioner
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra and Ors. .. Respondents

Mr. Hanif Shaikh, Advocate for the Applicant-Original Petitioner.
Mr. S.P. Kamble, Assistant Government Pleader for Respondent No.1.
Mr. O.A. Das, Advocate for Respondent No.2.

**CORAM : A.S. CHANDURKAR &
M.M. SATHAYE, JJ**

DATE : 9TH APRIL 2025.

PC. :

1. By the present interim application, the Applicant-petitioner seeks issuance of various directions to the non-applicant no.2 – Bank of India in the matter of furnishing Statement of Accounts showing the principal amount of Rs.20,00,000/- and interest thereon of Rs.11,74,000/- being credited to the Applicant's account. Various other prayers have also been made in the interim application.

2. We have heard the learned counsel for the Applicant-petitioner and the Non-Applicant no.2. It is seen that the Applicant had preferred Writ Petition No.14582 of 2023 in this Court praying that the Bank be directed to decide the representations made by the Applicant in the matter of

furnishing Statement of his Accounts with the Bank. The Writ Petition came to be decided on 19th July 2024 wherein it was noted that the issues raised in the said writ petition had been raised by the Applicant in Writ Petition Nos.1629 of 2020 and 1630 of 2020. After noting that relevant documents had been supplied to the Applicant, it was held that no further orders were required to be passed. The writ petition was accordingly disposed of.

3. We find from the prayers made in the present interim application that the Applicant-petitioner seeks to reiterate the prayers made in the earlier round of litigation. These prayers were considered and adjudicated by this Court earlier. Hence, we do not find that the prayers made in the present Interim Application can be granted. In any event, such prayers cannot be considered by moving an Interim Application in a disposed of writ petition.

4. For all these reasons, the Interim Application stands rejected with no order as to costs.

[M.M. SATHAYE, J.]

[A.S. CHANDURKAR, J.]