

VRJ

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**REVIEW PETITION NO.111 OF 2024
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.1917 OF 2024
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.11646 OF 2024
IN
REVIEW PETITION NO.111 OF 2024**

Vaibhavi Vishal Pimple ... Petitioner
V/s.
Ashok Baburav Vade & Ors. ... Respondents

Mr. Surel S. Shah, Senior Advocate with Mr. Amey C. Sawant for the petitioner.

Mr. Vijay Kurlle with Ms. Priyal Gupta and Bhagyesh Kurane for the respondent No.1.

CORAM : AMIT BORKAR, J.

DATED : JULY 9, 2025

P.C.:

1. Learned Senior Advocate on behalf of the petitioner submitted that the tax receipts were material documents which this Court failed to consider. However, in my opinion, the consideration of tax receipts, in the context of factum of disqualification, cannot be termed as an error apparent on the face of the record, as it will be in the realm of appreciation of material. It is well settled that illegality in the order impugned necessarily leads to a finding of error apparent on the face of record. Hence, I do not find any error on the face of record.

2. The review petition stands rejected.
3. The interim application stands disposed of as infructuous.

(AMIT BORKAR, J.)