

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**INTERIM APPLICATION NO.10593 OF 2024
IN
REVIEW PETITION (STAMP) NO.16618 OF 2024
IN
SECOND APPEAL NO.631 OF 2023**

Iqbal Hajiali Dhanani

(since deceased through his legal heirs)

1.a Asif Iqbal Dhanani and Ors.

...Applicants/...Petitioner

V/s.

Ratan Jehangir Cooper

...Respondent

Ms. Shweta Rathod with Ms. Tahira Siddique i/b. M/s. Elixir Legal Services for the Applicants/Petitioner.

Ms. Lubdha Bhoir h/f Rajesh Khobragade for the Respondent.

CORAM: SANDEEP V. MARNE, J.

DATED: 23 JUNE 2025.

P.C.:

INTERIM APPLICATION NO.10593 OF 2024:-

1) The Application is filed seeking condonation of delay of 55 days in filing the Review Petition.

2) Ms. Bhoir, the learned counsel appears for the Respondent and opposes the Application. Considering the averments made in the Application as well as the length of delay in filing the Review Petition, so also the order that I propose to pass in the Review

Petition, I consider it appropriate to condone the delay in filing the Review Petition. Delay in filing Review Petition is accordingly condoned.

3) Interim Application is accordingly disposed of.

REVIEW PETITION (STAMP) NO.16618 OF 2024:

4) Present Petition is filed seeking review of the order dated 29 January 2024.

5) Heard the learned counsel appearing for Petitioners as well as the learned counsel appearing for the Respondent. The main ground on which the review of the order is sought is non-consideration of the effect Memorandum of Understanding dated 13 December 2019 by this Court while passing the order under review. However, perusal of the order would indicate that this Court has repeatedly made reference to the Memorandum of Understanding dated 13 December 2019. Thus, the Petitioners have not pointed out any error apparent on face of record for seeking review of the order. No ground is thus made out for review of the order.

6) Review Petition is accordingly **dismissed**.

[SANDEEP V. MARNE, J.]