

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
LETTERS PATENT APPEAL NO.42 OF 2011
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.3993 OF 1998

M/s. Pico Electronics & Electricals Ltd. ...Appellant
VS
H. S. Kadlak ...Respondent

With
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 9095 OF 2024

Mr. K.S. Bapat with Mr. T.R. Yadav, for the Appellant.

Ms. Anjali Helekar with Ms. Anu Kaladharan, for Respondent in LPA and for Applicant in Interim Application.

CORAM:
G. S. KULKARNI &
AARTI SATHE, JJ.

DATE: 13 NOVEMBER 2025

P.C.

1. Respondent No.1-workman has expired during the pendency of the proceedings. It is also despite efforts, no instructions are being furnished by the appellant. For such reason the proceedings cannot be taken further.
2. The appeal is accordingly disposed of as abated.
3. The security which was furnished on behalf of respondent No.1 to withdraw the 50% of his backwages, is no more required. It is accordingly discharged. If there are any other dues which are payable by the appellant to the deceased workman, all contentions of the parties in that regard are expressly kept open.
4. Disposed of. No costs.
5. Pending Interim Application would not survive. It is accordingly disposed of.

(AARTI SATHE, J.)

(G. S. KULKARNI, J.)