
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

ARBITRATION APPEAL NO.89 OF 2024

M/s. Accurate Facilities India ...Appellant
Versus
M/s. Simple Engineering Solutions ...Respondent

**WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.13865 OF 2024
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.12822 OF 2024
IN
ARBITRATION APPEAL NO.89 OF 2024**

Ms Shamamah Kazi a/w. Sagar Pujari, Advocate for Respondent.

CORAM: SOMASEKHAR SUNDARESAN, J.

DATE : JUNE 10, 2025

PC :

1. Learned Counsel for the Appellant seeks an adjournment by four weeks.
2. On the last occasion taking note of the fact that the amount involved was nearly Rs. 5 Lacs and there had been two concurrent findings in favour of the Respondent, a statement of costs had been sought from both parties. It is seen that the Appellant is said to have spent nearly Rs. 5 Lacs in the matter, whereas the Respondent is said to

have spent more than Rs.5 Lacs – all in connection with an impugned award for Rs. 5 lakhs.

3. It is another matter that under the MSME Act, statutory interest is running against the Appellant, but it would be inappropriate to effect an adjournment by this long period within such a factual matrix.

4. Purely as a last chance to accommodate the Appellant, this matter would be listed immediately after the Section 29A matters on ***June 20, 2025***. It is made clear that the matter would move on that date without any request for adjournment being entertained.

5. All actions required to be taken pursuant to this order shall be taken upon receipt of a downloaded copy as available on this Court's website.

[SOMASEKHAR SUNDARESAN, J.]