
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

ARBITRATION PETITION NO.12 OF 2025

Shekhar Chaganrao Pawar

....Petitioner

Versus

Sandhya Shrish Pawar & Ors.

....Respondents

Mr. V.R. Kasale, *Advocate for Petitioner.*

Mr. M.J. Bhatt, *Advocate for Respondents.*

CORAM: SOMASEKHAR SUNDARESAN, J.

DATE : JULY 22, 2025

ORDER :

1. This Petition has been filed under Section 11 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (***“the Act”***), seeking appointment of an arbitrator in connection with disputes and differences that are said to have arisen between the parties under a Partnership Deed dated May 21, 2013 (***“Agreement”***). The arbitration agreement is contained in Clause 17 (found at Page 55 of the Petition) of the Agreement. In the interest of brevity, the arbitration agreement is not being extracted here. Suffice it to say that this matter falls within the jurisdiction of this Court, the seat of the arbitration being Nashik.

2. Three grounds of objections are raised on behalf of the Respondents. *First*, that the Petition is hopelessly barred by limitation;

second, that Respondent Nos.2 and 3 have no privity to the arbitration agreement; and *third*, that the cause of action also has not been pursued in time.

3. However, it is admitted that the disputes and differences pursuant to the arbitration agreement had led to an award, the setting aside of which was finally upheld by the Supreme Court in January 2024. Consequently, the arbitration agreement subsists and the parties must necessarily proceed to arbitration. In these circumstances, no useful purpose would be served keeping this Petition pending any further and the parties are hereby referred to arbitration.

4. As regards the other two grounds of objection, namely, Respondent Nos.2 and 3 had no privity to the arbitration agreement, Learned Counsel for the Petitioner submits that Respondents Nos.1, 2 and 3 are legal heirs of the erstwhile Partner and therefore would necessarily need to be parties to the arbitration proceedings. So also, as regards the pursuit of the cause of action being barred by limitation, the issue of arbitrability squarely falls in the domain of the Learned Arbitral Tribunal, leaving the other two grounds of objection open for agitation before the Learned Arbitral Tribunal constituted hereby.

5. The scope of review under Section 11 is explicitly set out in Section 11(6A) of the Act. It is now trite law, with particular regard to

the decisions of a seven-judge bench in the *Interplay Judgement*¹ followed by multiple others, including *SBI General*² and *Patel*³ that the Section 11 Court ought not to venture beyond examining the existence of a validly existing arbitration agreement that has been formally executed. Even questions of existential substance is a matter that falls squarely in the domain of the arbitral tribunal, in view of Section 16 of the Act.

6. Being satisfied that an arbitration agreement is validly in existence, and that arbitration has been duly invoked, it is in the fitness of things to refer the disputes and differences between the parties in connection with the aforesaid agreement to arbitration by a Sole Arbitrator.

7. In these circumstances, this Petition is hereby ***finally disposed of***, in terms of the following order:

A] Mr. Rohan Deshpande, a learned advocate of this Court is hereby appointed as the Sole Arbitrator to adjudicate upon the disputes and differences between the parties arising out of and in connection with the Agreement referred to above;

Office Address:- a. Mumbai office address (primary address for communications) – 1st Floor, DBS Heritage House, Prescott Road,

¹ *In Re: Interplay Between Arbitration Agreement under Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 and Stamp Act, 1899 – (2024) 6 SCC 1*

² *SBI General Insurance Co. Ltd. Vs. Krish Spinning – 2024 SCC OnLine 1974*

³ *Ajay Madhusudan Patel Vs. Jyotindra S. Patel – 2024 SCC OnLine, 2597*

Near Cathedral School, Fort,
Mumbai 400001.

b. Residential address in Nashik (to be
used only if needed, otherwise above
Mumbai office address to be used):

Nakshatra Bungalow Serene Meadows
Colony, 1st Street, Opp Paradise
Apartment, Gangapur Road,
Nashik – 422013.

Email ID: rohan@rohandeshpande.in

B] A copy of this Order will be communicated to the Learned Sole Arbitrator by the Advocates for the Petitioner within a period of one week from the date of upload of this order. The Petitioner shall provide the contact and communication particulars of the parties to the Arbitral Tribunal along with a copy of this Order;

C] The Learned Sole Arbitrator is requested to forward the statutory Statement of Disclosure under Section 11(8) read with Section 12(1) of the Act to the Advocates for the Petitioner so as to enable them to file the same in the Registry of this Court. The Registry of this Court shall retain the said Statement on the file of this Petition and a copy of the same shall be furnished by the Advocates for the Petitioner to the Advocates for the Respondents;

D] The parties shall appear before the Learned Sole Arbitrator on such date and at such place as indicated, to obtain appropriate directions with regard to conduct of the arbitration including fixing a schedule for pleadings, examination of witnesses, if any, schedule of hearings etc. At

such meeting, the parties shall provide a valid and functional email address along with mobile and landline numbers of the respective Advocates of the parties to the Arbitral Tribunal. Communications to such email addresses shall constitute valid service of correspondence in connection with the arbitration;

E] All arbitral costs and fees of the Arbitral Tribunal shall be borne by the parties equally in the first instance, and shall be subject to any final Award that may be passed by the Tribunal in relation to costs.

F] Needless to say, nothing contained in this order is an expression of an opinion on merits of the matter or the relative strength of the parties. All issues on merits are expressly kept open to be agitated before the arbitral tribunal appointed hereby.

8. Needless to say, nothing contained in this order is an expression of an opinion on merits of the matter or the relative strength of the parties. All issues on merits are expressly kept open to be agitated before the arbitral tribunal appointed hereby.

9. All actions required to be taken pursuant to this order shall be taken upon receipt of a downloaded copy as available on this Court's website.

[SOMASEKHAR SUNDARESAN, J.]