

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
MISCELLANEOUS CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 117 OF 2024**

Priyanka Rahul Ghadge

..Applicant

Versus

Rahul Dnyandev Ghadge

...Respondent

Mr. Atharva R.B., i/b Vaibhav R. Gaikwad, for the Applicant.
None, for the Respondent.

CORAM: N. J. JAMADAR, J.

DATED : 3 JANUARY 2025

P.C.:

1. Heard learned Counsel for the Applicant. None appears for the Respondent.

2. In the Affidavit in Reply a statement is made that the Applicant is working and residing at Pune. The learned Counsel for the Applicant, however, controverts this submission. The learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that since the Applicant has not been served with a copy of the Affidavit in Reply, the Applicant could not file a Rejoinder.

3. Let the Applicant file a Rejoinder, specifically dealing with the contention in the Affidavit in Reply that the Applicant is working and residing at Pune.

ARUN
RAMCHANDRA
SANKPAL

Digitally signed by
ARUN RAMCHANDRA
SANKPAL
Date: 2025.01.04
13:19:49 +0530

4. Stand over to 17th January 2025.
5. Ad-interim relief, granted earlier, shall continue to operate till the next date.

[N. J. JAMADAR, J.]