

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 593 OF 2024

Jena Aakash Raghani

.....PETITIONER

: **VERSUS** :

Aakash Kishore Raghani

....RESPONDENT

Mr. Swatesh Tripathi, i/b/. Mr. R.M. Upadhyay, for the Petitioner.**Mr. Suarabh P. Patil** with Mr. Kiran Shinde, for the Respondent.
for the Respondent.Petitioner-Jena Raghani in person (through V.C.).

CORAM : SANDEEP V. MARNE, J.**DATED : 19 DECEMBER 2025.****P.C :**

1) The Contempt Petition was listed yesterday when the Petitioner appeared in person. She requested for an adjournment on account of inability of her advocate to remain present. Accordingly, at her request, the Contempt Petition has been listed today. However, today again the Advocate for the Petitioner has failed to remain present. Proxy Advocate requests for adjournment. Additionally, Instead, the Petitioner has also appeared in person through Video Conferencing.

2) It appears that the order has already been complied with as the custody of the daughter has been handed over to the Petitioner on 29 June 2025.

3) Petitioner in person submits that the Contempt Petition be kept pending with a view to ensure faster amicable settlement between the parties. She also requests for non-disposal of the Contempt Petition on the ground that Respondent may violate in future the order of weekly access and not return custody of the daughter. I am afraid this apprehension cannot be a reason for keeping the Contempt Petition pending forever. In the event violation of order occurs, Petitioner would be at liberty to move this Court again. Since the order has already been complied with, nothing remains to be adjudicated in the present petition. The same is **disposed of**.

NEETA
SHAILESH
SAWANT

Digitally
signed by
NEETA
SHAILESH
SAWANT
Date:
2025.12.22
11:09:36
+0530

[SANDEEP V. MARNE, J.]