

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 138 OF 2025

Chandrashekhar Sadashiv Karkhanis ..Applicant
Versus
Arvind Sadashiv Karkhanis ...Respondent

Mr. Samir A. Vaidya (through VC), with Aditya Parmar & Zainab
Khan, for the Applicant.

CORAM: N. J. JAMADAR, J.
DATED : 28th APRIL 2025

P.C.:

1. Heard Mr. Vaidya, the learned Counsel for the Applicant.
2. The Applicant is aggrieved by an order passed by the First Appellate Court. The Writ Petition against the order passed by the First Appellate Court is not tenable. The proper remedy for the Petitioner is to prefer Second Appeal under Section 100 of the Code of Civil Procedure 1908.
3. The Petition stands disposed with liberty to the Petitioner to prefer a Second Appeal.

ARUN
RAMCHANDRA
SANKPAL

Digitally signed by
ARUN
RAMCHANDRA
SANKPAL
Date: 2025.04.29
09:10:47 +0530

4. In the event such an Appeal is filed, the period spent in prosecuting this Application may be accounted for under Section 14 of the Limitation Act.

5. Petition disposed.

[N. J. JAMADAR, J.]