

URMILA
PRAMOD
INGALE

Digitally signed
by URMILA
PRAMOD
INGALE
Date:
2025.04.15
16:14:24
+0530

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO.106 OF 2024

Abhijit Madhukar Garad And Anr. ...Petitioners

Vs

Pune Municipal Corporation
Throu. Municipal Commissioner
And Ors

...Respondents

Mr. Sattyendra Muley (through V.C.) for petitioners.

Mr. Abhijit P. Kulkarni a/w Mr. Abhishek Roy, Mr. Shreyas Zarkar, Mr. Gaurav Shahane, Ms. Sweta Shah and Krushna Jaybhay for respondent no.1-PMC.

Mr. Shrikrishna Ganbavale for respondent no.2. (through V.C).

Mr. O. A. Chandurkar, Addl. Government Pleader with Mr. G. R. Raghuwanshi, AGP for respondent nos.3 to 5 -State

**CORAM : ALOK ARADHE, CJ. AND
M. S. KARNIK, J.
DATE : 9th APRIL 2025**

P. C. :

1. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner through Video Conferencing.

2. The Petition pertains to the construction of Balewadi-Wakad bridge. The petitioners contends that though the bridge is ready, the same is not put to use as some portion of the land is yet to be acquired. An Affidavit in reply is filed on behalf of respondent No.1-Pune Municipal Corporation. In the Affidavit in reply, BMC

has spelt out the reasons for the delay. The Affidavit in reply states that budgetary provisions pursuant to the General Body Resolution and entire file including survey and maps has been forwarded to the Land Acquisition Department by the Department of PMC *vide* order dated 7/4/2025. It is further stated that considering the urgency, the Land Acquisition Department has also been requested to complete the necessary formalities and submit the proposal with a request to acquire portion of the land that has not come under the possession of PMC. Learned APP to communicate this order to the concerned authority of the Land Acquisition Department. The Authority is expected to immediately consider the proposal and complete the relevant formalities so that the portion of land in question is acquired at the earliest. The process be expedited.

3. In light of the affidavit that has been filed by respondent No.1 dated 8/4/2025, the present petition is disposed of. In view of disposal of petition, interim application, if any does not survive for consideration.

(M. S. KARNIK, J.)

(CHIEF JUSTICE)