

rrpillai

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 18511 OF 2024**

Shri Ankush Dadaso Bhosale and Others ... Petitioners

Vs.

Shri Prakash Shankar Bhosale and Others... Respondents

Mr. R. C. Barge for the Petitioners.

CORAM : GAURI GODSE, J.

DATE : 18th JUNE 2025

ORDER :

1. This petition is filed by defendant nos. 9 to 11 to challenge the order dated 3rd May 2024 permitting amendment to the plaint and addition of parties. The impugned order is purely an interlocutory order.

2. Normally, court should be slow in interfering with such interlocutory order, in its discretionary jurisdiction under Article 227 of the Constitution of India. In the event the final decree is against the petitioners, it would always be open for them to challenge the impugned order, in view of Section 105 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that written statement is already filed and issues are framed and the suit

is for recording evidence. The petitioners can always raise all possible contentions as permissible in law, by filing additional written statement. The petitioners are therefore permitted to file their additional written statement within four weeks.

4. Hence, this is not a case to interfere with the impugned order in the discretionary jurisdiction under Article 227 of the Constitution of India. It is therefore, clarified that the observations made in the impugned order have been made for a limited purpose of deciding the application for amendment of the plaint. All contentions on merits will remain open at the time of final hearing of the suit.

5. Subject to the aforesaid observations and keeping the liberty under Section 105 of the CPC open, the petition is dismissed.

[GAURI GODSE, J.]