



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO.14714 OF 2024**

Shoukat Maqbul Nadaf and Anr.	...	Petitioners
versus		
Mumtaz Nadaf and Ors.	...	Respondents

Mr. Prajakt M. Arjunwadkar with Mr. Raj S. Satam, for Petitioners.
Mr. Raturaj Pawar for Respondent No.1.
Mr. Hamid Mulla, AGP for Respondent Nos.2 and 3.

CORAM: N.J.JAMADAR, J.

DATE : 21 JANUARY 2025

P.C.

1. Heard the learned Counsel for the parties.
2. After the Court expressed its disinclination to entertain the Petition, the learned Counsel for the Petitioners seeks leave to withdraw the Petition. Learned Counsel, however, submits that the hearing of the appeal may be directed to be expedited.
3. Leave granted.
4. As the decree is one for recovery of possession of the premises and the appellant has been directed to pay compensation, it may be expedient in the interest of justice to request the Appeal Court to hear and decide the Appeal as expeditiously as possible.
5. The Writ Petition stands disposed as withdrawn.
6. The learned District Judge seized with Regular Civil Appeal No.99 of

2022 is requested to make an endeavour to decide the said Appeal as expeditiously as possible and preferably within a period of one year from the date of communication of this order.

(N.J.JAMADAR, J.)