

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION****CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO. 14341 OF 2024**

Vijay Ravji Gajra & Anr.

... Petitioner

V/s.

The State Of Maharashtra & Ors.

... Respondents

Adv. Tanaji Mhatugade for Petitioner.

Adv. Snehal S. Jadhav, A.G.P. for Respondent Nos.1, 2, 5 to 7-State.

Adv. Sarang Aradhye a/w Adv. Gauri Velankar & Adv. Shantanu Gurav for Respondent No.3.

Mr.Sanjeev P. Kadam, Senior Advocate, a/w Adv.Prashant Raul, Adv. Varsha Thorat, Adv. Pratik Deshmukh, Adv. Chandarani Gore, Adv. Suraj Mhadgut and Adv. Aditi Rajput i/b Adv. Ravi Asabe for Respondent No.8.

**CORAM : A. S. GADKARI AND
SHYAM C. CHANDAK, JJ.****DATE : 13th February 2025.****P.C. :**

1) Mr. Aradhye, learned counsel appearing for Respondent No.3, made a grievance that, as the Respondent No.8, did not co-operate in the measurement and survey of the concerned land, the process of acquiring the said piece of land and construction of the road thereof could not be completed.

2) Mr. Kadam, learned senior counsel appearing for Respondent No.8, on instructions, makes a statement that, the Respondent No.8, will co-operate in measuring and surveying the piece of land owned by him. He

submitted that, the only expectation of the Respondent No.8 is that, the Planning Authority/Acquiring Body must comply with the statements made by the Respondent No.3 and recorded in Order dated 28th September 2021, passed in WP No. 3769 of 2021 i.e. to pay the Respondent No.8 necessary compensation as per the provisions of the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013.

3) Mr. Aradhye, learned counsel for Respondent No.3, seeks time to take instructions.

3.1) At his request, stand over to 27th March 2025.

(SHYAM C. CHANDAK, J.)

(A.S. GADKARI, J.)