

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 10880 OF 2024

Hemant Dilip Pawar .. Petitioner

Versus

Zilla Parishad Sindhudurg .. Respondents

AND

WRIT PETITION NO. 10790 OF 2024

Kavita D. Magar .. Petitioner

Versus

Pune Municipal Corporation .. Respondents

AND

WRIT PETITION NO. 11948 OF 2024

Ashiya Bi Fakir Mohammad Shaikh .. Petitioner

Versus

Pune Municipal Corporation .. Respondents

AND

WRIT PETITION NO. 11952 OF 2024

Dashrath S. Borse .. Petitioner

Versus

Zilla Parishad, Sindhudurg .. Respondents

AND

WRIT PETITION NO. 10791 OF 2024

Laxmi

This order is modified as per speaking to the minutes of the order dated 25/07/2025.

Suraj Maruti Borawake .. Petitioner

Versus

Pune Municipal Corporation .. Respondents

**AND
WRIT PETITION NO. 14422 OF 2024**

Sanit R. Sonawane .. Petitioner

Versus

Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai .. Respondents

**AND
WRIT PETITION NO. 14217 OF 2024**

Vaishali Shivdas Pardhi .. Petitioner

Versus

Zilla Parishad Sindhudurg .. Respondents

Dr. U.P. Warunjikar i/b Sumit S. Kate for the Petitioner in WP/14422/2024, WP/10880/2024, WP/10790/2024, WP/11948/2024, WP/11950/2024, WP/11952/2024, WP/11953/2024, WP/10791/2024 & WP/11955/2024.

Ms. Manisha Jagtap a/w. Tanmay Tendulkar for Respondent-P.M.C. in WP/11948/2024.

Mr. Santosh Mali i/b. Komal Punjabi for Respondent Nos. 4 & 5 in WP/14422/2024.

Laxmi

This order is modified as per speaking to the minutes of the order dated 25/07/2025.

Mr. Rohit Sakhadeo for Respondent Nos. 4 & 5 in WP 10880/2024 and for Respondent Nos. 4 and 6 in WP/11952/2024.

Mr. P. P. Kakade, Addl.G.P. a/w V. G. Badgujar, AGP for State in WP/10880/2024.

Mr. B. V. Samant, Addl.G.P. a/w S. H. Kankal, AGP for Respondent-State in WP/10790/2024.

Mr. V. M. Mali, AGP for the State in WP/11948/2024.

Ms. Priyanka Chavan, AGP for the State in WP/11951/2024.

Ms. Pooja Joshi Deshpande, AGP for the State in WP/11952/2024.

Mr. A. K. Naik, AGP for the State in WP/10791/2024.

Ms. N. M. Mehra, AGP for the State in WP/11955/2024.

Mr. S. B. Kalel, AGP for the State in WP/14422/2024.

**CORAM: RAVINDRA V. GHUGE &
GAUTAM A. ANKHAD, JJ.**

DATE: JULY 11, 2025

P. C.

1. We have considered the submissions of the learned Advocate for the Petitioners, the learned Additional G.P. and the learned Advocates for the Pune Municipal Corporation/Sindhudurg Zilla Parishad/BMC. With their

Laxmi

This order is modified as per speaking to the minutes of the order dated 25/07/2025.

assistance, we have gone through a recently delivered order dated 25th March, 2025 by this Court in Writ Petition No.1269 of 2025 (**Pandharinath Dilip Kanojia & Ors. versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.**).

2. We have also adverted to the judgment delivered by this Court at the Aurangabad Bench in **Hina Kausar Mohammad Riyaz & Others versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.**, Writ Petition No.8534 of 2023 and group of cases, decided by order dated 14th September, 2023. For the sake of clarity, we record that there were three modes for a candidate to approach the TAIT. One mode was of passing TET, the second was after passing C-TET and the third was on acquiring Graduate plus B.Ed. Education.

3. In Pandharinath Dilip Kanojia & Ors. (supra), we concluded that if any candidate is selected on the basis of passing the TET and if the said TET exam result is cancelled on account of the name of the concerned candidate figuring in the 2019 TET exam result scam (wherein lesser marks actually scored were exaggerated to show higher marks in the online declaration of the results so as to enable such candidates to overcome the qualifying bar), such candidates cannot be considered if they had appeared for TAIT based on such TET result, primarily for the reason that the TET result of 2019 with regard to

Laxmi

This order is modified as per speaking to the minutes of the order dated 25/07/2025.

such 7500 candidates, had been cancelled and their TET marks/marks memo have been neutralised.

4. However, in the case of candidates who have appeared for the TAIT on the basis of passing the C-TET or on the basis of Graduate plus B.Ed. qualifications, such cases were to be considered independently by the prospective employers. In short, standing on the plank of C-TET or Graduate plus B.Ed., the candidature could be considered for TAIT.

5. We have perused the recently delivered judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 3rd April, 2025 in a long list of appeals bearing Special Leave Petition (Civil) No.9586 of 2024 [State of West Bengal versus Baishakhi Bhattacharyya (Chatterjee) and Others], 2025 SCC OnLine SC 719. Paragraph Nos.19, 45, 46 and 47 of the said judgment, read as under:

“19. The following principles emerge from the aforesaid discussion:

- When an in-depth factual inquiry reveals systemic irregularities, such as malaise or fraud, that undermine the integrity of the entire selection process, the result should be cancelled in its entirety. However, if and when possible,

Laxmi

This order is modified as per speaking to the minutes of the order dated 25/07/2025.

segregation of tainted and untainted candidates should be done in consonance with fairness and equity.

- The decision to cancel the selection en masse must be based on the satisfaction derived from sufficient material collected through a fair and thorough investigation. It is not necessary for the material collected to conclusively prove malpractice beyond a reasonable doubt. The standard of evidence should be reasonable certainty of systemic malaise. The probability test is applicable.
- Despite the inconvenience caused to untainted candidates, when broad and deep manipulation in the selection process is proven, due weightage has to be given to maintaining the purity of the selection process.
- Individual notice and hearing may not be necessary in all cases for practical reasons when the facts establish that the entire selection process is vitiated with illegalities at a large scale.”

“45. The last question relates to the relief and whether it requires any modification. We find no valid ground or reason to interfere with the direction of the High Court that the services of tainted candidates, where appointed,

Laxmi

This order is modified as per speaking to the minutes of the order dated 25/07/2025.

must be terminated, and they should be required to refund any salaries/payments received. Since their appointments were the result of fraud, this amounts to cheating. Therefore, we see no justification to alter this direction.”

“46. For candidates not specifically found to be tainted, the entire selection process has been rightly declared null and void due to the egregious violations and illegalities, which violated Articles 14 and 16 of the Constitution. As such, the appointments of these candidates are cancelled. However, candidates who are already employed need not be asked to refund or restitute any payments made to them. However, their services will be terminated. Furthermore, no candidate can be appointed once the entire examination process and results have been declared void.”

“47. Some of the appointed candidates who do not fall within the category of tainted candidates may have previously worked in different departments of the State Government or with autonomous bodies, etc. In such cases, although their appointments are cancelled, these candidates will have the right to apply to their previous departments or autonomous bodies to continue in service with those entities. These applications must be processed by the respective

Laxmi

This order is modified as per speaking to the minutes of the order dated 25/07/2025.

government departments or bodies within three months, and the candidates will be allowed to resume their positions. Further, the period between the termination of their previous appointment and their rejoining will not be considered a break in service. Their seniority and other entitlements will be preserved, and they will be eligible for increments. However, for the period they were employed under the disputed appointment, no wages will be paid by the State Government or autonomous bodies. Further, if required and necessary, supernumerary posts may be created for persons appointed in the interregnum.”

6. As such, considering that the Petitioners before us are standing on the plank of the C-TET qualification, the prospective employer could consider their cases, provided the police reports with regard to their antecedents or character, reveal that they are not tainted. Needless to state, in the event, these Petitioners are convicted or are held guilty of having indulged in examination malpractices in relation to the 2019 TET exam result scam, an employer would be at liberty to initiate appropriate action against such candidates.

7. In view of the above, without expressing any opinion as regards the individual cases of these Petitioners, **these Writ Petitions are disposed off.**

Laxmi

This order is modified as per speaking to the minutes of the order dated 25/07/2025.

8. The prospective Employer will be at liberty to consider each of these cases and if he finds that they have approached with clean hands, then an appropriate decision on their appointments may be arrived at, as expeditiously as possible and preferably within a period of 45 days.

[GAUTAM A. ANKHAD, J.]

[RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.]

Laxmi

This order is modified as per speaking to the minutes of the order dated 25/07/2025.