

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.11850 OF 2024

Sahebrao Gangadhar Kadam and ors.

... Petitioners

V/s.

Tahasildar, Nashik and ors.

... Respondents

Mr. Chaitanya Nikte with **Mr. Swapnil Meera Dilip Sangle** i/by **Ms. Sneha Bhange**, Advocates for the Petitioners.

Mr. B. B. Dahiphale, AGP for the State.

Mr. Harshad M. Inamdar, Advocate for the Respondent No.3.

CORAM : SANDEEP V. MARNE, J.

Dated : 11 February, 2025.

PC. :

1. There is an alternate and equally efficacious remedy of filing Appeal before the Sub-Divisional Officer challenging the order passed by Tahasildar. In view of availability of alternate remedy, this Court is not inclined to entertain the present petition.

2. The petition is accordingly disposed of leaving open the alternate remedy of filing Appeal for the Petitioner.

3. Time spend in prosecuting the present petition shall be considered for condonation of delay in filing the Appeal.

(SANDEEP V. MARNE, J.)