

Digitally
signed by
HUSENBASHA
RAHAMAN
NADAF
Date:
2025.04.04
11:36:51
+0530

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 8950 OF 2024

Shivaji Shankar Sul Petitioner
V/s
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

Mr. Shailesh Chavan i/b. Mr. Milind Deshmukh, Advocate for
Petitioner.

Mr. N. K. Rajpurohit, Assistant Government Pleader for the Respondent
Nos.1 to 4.

**CORAM: A.S. CHANDURKAR &
M.M. SATHAYE, JJ.**

DATE : 3RD APRIL 2025

P.C. :

1. Mentioned out of turn.
2. On 27.03.2025, the following order was passed:
 - “1. Despite the order dated 06/03/2025 the affidavit-in-reply is not filed. Only by way of indulgence, time of one week is granted to file affidavit-in-reply.
 2. Stand over to 03/04/2025.”
 3. It is made clear that if the affidavit-in-reply is not filed, the Court would consider imposing costs on the concerned Respondents.
3. Learned Assistant Government Pleader submits that the affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.3 & 4 has been sent through post and it is on the way. The last order makes it clear that if the

affidavit-in-reply is not filed, the Court would consider imposing costs. However, it is now stated that the reply has been sent by post. Hence, only by way of indulgence, stand over to 08.04.2025 under the caption “For Directions”.

(M.M. SATHAYE, J.)

(A.S. CHANDURKAR, J.)