

JYOTI
RAJESH
MANE

Digitally signed
by JYOTI
RAJESH MANE
Date: 2025.11.17
18:17:55 +0530

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 8706 of 2024

Jatin Sunil Rajusth	...Petitioner
Versus	
Vidhi Jatin Rajusth	...Respondent
Mr. Saif Mobhani, for the Petitioner.	_____

CORAM: AARTI SATHE, J.

DATE: 17th November 2025

P.C.

1. This Petition challenges the impugned Order dated 20th February 2024, passed by the Family Court, Bandra, Mumbai. By way of impugned order the learned Family Court, Bandra had directed Petitioner-Husband to pay maintenance on ad-hoc basis of Rs.75,000/- per month from the date of application by the Respondent or deposit in the Court.

2. Today when the matter was called out learned counsel Mr. Mobhani appearing on behalf of the Petitioner has informed this Court that the Divorce Petition which was pending before the learned Family Court, Bandra, Mumbai, has got settled and judgment dated 5th August 2024, has been passed in the said Divorce Petition. He has also submitted that the Divorce Petition which was initially filed under Section 13(1)(i-a) of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, was subsequently converted into a mutual consent Petition under the provisions of Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 and the consent terms were entered into between the Petitioner - Husband and Respondent - Wife.

3. In view of the consent terms filed by the parties before the learned Family Court, Mumbai, the judgment dated 5th August 2024, was passed. The relevant part of the said Judgment reads thus:

4 *On perusal of the consent terms signed by both the petitioners, it is clear that they got married on 25.12.2015 at Mumbai. After marriage, they lived together as husband and wife till 03.08.2018. They have no issue born from the said wedlock. Since 03.08.2018 they have been residing separately. The period of separation between them is more than one year. They have agreed that they shall withdraw allegations and counter allegations against each other. They have exchanged their articles, ornaments etc. In such circumstances, they have no other claim of whatsoever nature against each other and there is no possibility of reunion between the parties, therefore, the marriage is liable to be dissolved. Hence, I answer above points accordingly and proceed to pass the following order.*

O R D E R

1. *The marriage between the Petitioner No.1 and Petitioner No.2 solemnized on 25.12.2015 is hereby dissolved under Sec.13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955.*
2. *Consent terms at Ex.37 shall form part of the decree.*
3. *Petitioners to bear their own cost.*
4. *Decree be drawn accordingly.*

4. In view of the aforesaid Judgment dated 5th August 2024, passed by the learned Family Court, Bandra, Mumbai it is clear that both the parties have agreed to withdraw allegations and counter allegations against each other. They have also exchanged their articles, ornaments etc. and further they have no other claim against each other of whatsoever nature and in view thereof nothing survives in the aforesaid Petition.

5. Petition is disposed of as being infructuous. No costs.

(AARTI SATHE, J.)