

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

(SR. NO.918) WRIT PETITION (ST) NO.16110 OF 2025

Snehal Suhas Parkhe & Ors. ...Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

AND

(SR. NO.920) WRIT PETITION (ST) NO.16406 OF 2025

Vidya Hanumant Mahadik ...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

AND

(SR. NO.921) WRIT PETITION (ST) NO.16408 OF 2025

Dipali Santram Dhavare ...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

AND

(SR. NO.928) WRIT PETITION NO.12778 OF 2023

Deepika Kashinath Diwate ...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

AND

(SR. NO.929) WRIT PETITION NO.279 OF 2024

Nutan Nivrutti Gore & Anr. ...Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

AND

(SR. NO.930) WRIT PETITION NO.280 OF 2024

Vanita Narayan Gote @
Vanita Sanjiv Kunjir & Ors. ...Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

AND

(SR. NO.931) WRIT PETITION NO.281 OF 2024

Karuna Gautam Thombare ...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

AND

(SR. NO.932) WRIT PETITION NO.282 OF 2024

Pramodini Krushnaji Kulkarni ...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

AND

(SR. NO.933) WRIT PETITION NO.1604 OF 2024

Shridhar Vasantao Deshmukh ...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

AND

(SR. NO.934) WRIT PETITION NO.1605 OF 2024

Prashantkumar Namdev Sakhare & Anr. ...Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

AND

(SR. NO.935) WRIT PETITION NO.7511 OF 2024

Manisha Arvind Katkar ...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

AND
(SR. NO.936) WRIT PETITION NO.8088 OF 2024

Vidya Kishor Karanje @
Vidya Baban Supekar

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents

AND
(SR. NO.938) WRIT PETITION NO.17008 OF 2024

Santosh Ramhari Shete

...Petitioner

Versus

The Education Officer (Secondary)

Zilla Parishad, Pune & Ors.

...Respondents

Mr. Rajaram Deshmukh a/w Ms. Ankita Salvi, Advocate for the
Petitioner in all these Writ Petitions.

Mr. S.P. Kamble, Advocate for the Respondent-State in
WP(ST)/16110/2025.

Ms. Devyani Deshmukh, Advocate for the Respondent-State in
WP(ST)/16406/2025.

Mr. Abhijeet Naik, Advocate for the Respondent-State in
WP(ST)/16408/2025.

Mr. V.G. Badgujar, Advocate for the Respondent-State in
WP/12778/2023.

Ms. N.M. Mehra, AGP for the Respondent-State in WP/279/2024
and WP/1604/2024.

Mr. V.M. Mali, AGP for the Respondent-State in WP/280/2024.

Mr. R.A. Salunkhe, Advocate for the Respondent-State in
WP/281/2024.

Ms. Dhruti Kapadia, Advocate for the Respondent-State in
WP/282/2024.

Ms. P.M. Deshpande, AGP for the Respondent-State in
WP/1605/2024.

Ms. Priyanka Chavan, AGP for the Respondent-State in
WP/7511/2024.

Mr. K.N. Solunke, Advocate for the Respondent-State in
WP/8088/2024.

Mr. P.P. Kakade, Additional G.P. for the Respondent-State in
WP/17008/2024.

CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE,

&

ASHWIN D. BHOBE, JJ.

DATE : 7th MAY, 2025

P.C. :-

1. In all these matters, the grievance of the Petitioners is that their proposals for grant of approval to their appointments as Assistant Teachers in the Unaided / Aided Section of the school, are pending with the concerned Officer. Some of the proposals are pending since 2016 onwards. They seek a direction to the concerned Authority to decide the proposals, expeditiously.

2. The learned Advocates appearing on behalf of the Zilla Parishad / the AGPs appearing on behalf of the Education Officer Secondary, submit that as the proposals are pending for a long time, there is a possibility that some of the proposals may have already been decided. The learned Advocate for the Petitioners submits that none of these Petitioners have been served with any orders that may have been passed.

3. In view of the above, **all these Writ Petitions are disposed off** with the following directions to the Education Officer Secondary / Education Officer Primary :-

(a) The pending proposals shall be taken up expeditiously by these Authorities and by following the due procedure laid down in law, they shall conduct a meticulous verification exercise of the records available and after giving an opportunity to the Management to remove deficiencies, if any, a reasoned order shall be passed in each of these proposals, if not already decided, within a period of 120 days from today. We caution the Authorities that they should start implementing this order expeditiously and we will not grant them extension of time.

(b) In the event any orders have been passed, copies of the said orders shall be served upon the Management and the Petitioners, within a period of 21 days from today by Speed Post (AD).

(c) If adverse orders have been passed or if they are passed within the timeline granted by this Court, the aggrieved parties are at liberty to avail of a remedy as is permissible in law.

(d) In the event any proposal is accepted, further steps for grant of Shalarth ID shall be initiated expeditiously.

(ASHWIN D. BHOBE, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)