

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO.829 OF 2025

Ramsevak Budhai Chaurasia & Anr. .. Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .. Respondents

...

Mr.R.Sathyanarayanan with Mr.Prathamesh Naik and Ms.Aparna Ashtivkar for the Petitioner.

Mr.Naushad Engineer, Senior Advocate with Ms.Mahek Shah, Mr.Venkat Rao and Mr.Akash Gaonkar i/b Legalserve & Associates for the Respondent No.2-Tribute Society.

Mr.K.B.Dighe, Addl. Govt. Pleader with Mr.S.P.Kamble, A.G.P. for the State/Respondent Nos.1 and 3.

**CORAM: ALOK ARADHE, C.J. &
BHARATI DANGRE, J.**

DATED : 06th FEBRUARY, 2025

...

P.C:-

1. Learned senior counsel for the Respondent No.2, while referring to Rule 18(13) of the Bombay High Court Appellate Side Rules, 1960, submits that the Writ Petition preferred under Article 226 or 227 of the Constitution of India, in which a challenge is raised to the order passed under the Maharashtra Co-Operative Societies Act, is required to be heard by a Single Judge.

2. Learned counsel for the Petitioners prays for adjournment to enable him to examine the aforesaid aspect to contend that this Writ Petition should be heard by the Division Bench.

3. List on 14/02/2025.

(BHARATI DANGRE, J.)

(CHIEF JUSTICE)