

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**WRIT PETITION NO.287 OF 2025**

|                                                                        |                |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| Sitaram Sankar Patil                                                   | .. Petitioner  |
| Vs.                                                                    |                |
| The State of Maharashtra,<br>Through Revenue and Forest Dept. and Ors. | .. Respondents |

Mr. Sumedh S. Modak, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. N.C. Walimbe, Additional Government Pleader with Mr. Y.D. Patil,  
Assistant Government Pleader for Respondent Nos.1 to 3.

**CORAM : A.S. CHANDURKAR &  
M.M. SATHAYE, JJ**

**DATE : 27<sup>TH</sup> JANUARY 2025.**

**PC. :**

1. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that by order dated 22<sup>nd</sup> March 2024 passed by the Deputy Commissioner, Land Records in Appeal S.R.106/2022/24, that was filed under Section 247 of the Maharashtra Land Revenue Code, 1966, has been challenged by preferring a Revision Application before the State Government under Section 257 of the Code. According to him, the Revision Application having been filed in August, 2024, this jurisdiction has been invoked within a reasonable period. In view of the decision of the Supreme Court in *Santoshkumar Shivgonda Patil and Ors. Vs. Balasaheb Tukaram Shevale and Ors., (2009) 9 SCC 352*, the learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the application for condonation of delay filed along with the Revision

Application. The statement is accepted. It is seen that the Revision Application has been filed shortly after copy of the impugned order was received by the petitioner.

2. Pursuant to the order dated 16<sup>th</sup> January 2025 passed by this Court, the learned Additional Government Pleader, on instructions, submits that the petitioner's Revision Application would be decided within a period of three months from receipt of a copy of this order.

3. Accepting aforesaid statement, the writ petition is disposed of by directing the respondent no.1 to decide the petitioner's Revision Application within a period of three months of receiving copy of this order. All contentions of parties are kept open. If a request is made by the petitioner for grant of interim relief, the same shall be considered within a period of four weeks of receiving copy of this order. The same be done after hearing all the parties.

[ M.M. SATHAYE, J. ]

[ A.S. CHANDURKAR, J. ]