



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO.231 OF 2025

Jayeshbhai Dolatbhai Jadav

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Ors.

...Respondents

Mr. Brijesh Pathak for the Petitioner.

Mr. Satyaprakash Sharma a/w Ms. Niyati Mankad (through VC) &

Ms. Harpreet Kaur Sethi for Respondent Nos.1 & 2.

CORAM : M. S. Sonak &
Jitendra Jain, JJ.

DATED : 8 July 2025

PC.:-

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
2. Mr. Pathak states that the Petitioner had furnished a bank guarantee to secure provisional release of goods.
3. The adjudication proceedings which followed were dropped and there was a direction for return of the bank guarantee. He states that even appeal by the department is dismissed. Still, he complains that the bank guarantee is not being returned as a result of which the Petitioner has to bear unnecessary expenditure.
4. Mr. Sharma seeks time upto tomorrow to obtain instructions.
5. We post the matter on 9 July 2025 as requested. However, if what Mr. Pathak says is correct, then, we expect that the bank guarantee is returned at the earliest because at least *prima facie*, it is not fair that the Petitioner is made to bear the bank guarantee charges only on account of some bureaucratic delay in actually returning the bank guarantee.

6. List the matter on 9 July 2025 for final disposal at the admission stage.

(Jitendra Jain, J.)

(M. S. Sonak, J.)