

Arjun

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.173 OF 2025

Kunal Radheshyam Bansal

...Petitioner

Versus

Shradha Kunal Bansal

...Respondent

Mr. Kunal Radheshyam Bansal, Petitioner, in-person.

Adv. B. M. Patwardhan i/b Bhagyashree B Patwardhan, for the Respondent.

CORAM: MADHAV J. JAMDAR, J.

DATED: 28 NOVEMBER 2025

P.C.:

1. Mr. Kunal Radheshyam Bansal, the Petitioner, appears in person and states that he has given discharge to his Advocate by sending a letter. However, the discharge has to be granted by the Court. It appears that the statement which has been recorded by this Court in the Order dated 7th November 2025 as undertaking given to the Court which has been made by the Petitioner, who was personally present in the Court, has not been complied with. The Petitioner has no intention of complying with the said statement and therefore this contention regarding discharge is now being raised.

2. However, in any case, there is no application for discharge of the Advocate. As the learned Advocate has filed *Vakalatnama*, it is his duty

to appear in the matter and seek discharge.

3. The Petitioner - Kunal Radheshyam Bansal, shall remain present in this Court on next date and all the adjourned dates.

4. As I am *prima facie* satisfied that the Petitioner - Kunal Radheshyam Bansal, has committed contempt of this Court by not abiding by the undertaking given to this Court, if the Petitioner remains absent, this Court will be constrained to issue a non-bailable warrant.

5. Mr. Kunal Radheshyam Bansal, the Petitioner, states that he will comply with the Order dated 7th November 2025 by next date. He states that he will comply with the undertaking given to this Court, as recorded in the Order dated 7th November 2025, by bringing a Demand Draft of the said amount.

6. Stand over to **2nd December 2025** at **03:00 pm**. To be shown 'first on board'.

[MADHAV J. JAMDAR, J.]