

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.1610 OF 2024

Balaji Manohar Mundhe

.... Petitioner

versus

The State of Maharashtra & Anr.

.... Respondents

.....

- Mr. Amol Phalke, Advocate for Petitioner.
- Mr. Y. M. Nakhwa, APP for the State/Respondent.

**CORAM : SARANG V. KOTWAL &
S. M. MODAK, JJ.**

DATE : 20th MARCH, 2025

P.C. :

1. Learned counsel for the Petitioner states that in this case, the Petitioner had preferred an application for discharge which was rejected. He submitted that he is not pressing this Writ Petition, but liberty be granted to challenge the order of framing of charge.

2. Considering this prayer, the Petition is disposed of as not pressed. The Petitioner is at liberty to adopt the appropriate proceedings in accordance with law to challenge the order of

framing of charges. If such proceedings are preferred, they shall be decided on their own merits and in accordance with law.

3. The maintainability and the question of limitation is also left open.

4. With these observations, the Petition is disposed of.

(S. M. MODAK J.)

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)