

LAXMIKANT
GOPAL
CHANDAN

Digitally signed
by LAXMIKANT
GOPAL
CHANDAN
Date:
2025.02.21
15:21:45 +0530

lgc

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

INTERIM APPLICATION NO.13304 OF 2024
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.5129 OF 2018

Pandharinath Gopal Tandel : Applicant/Petitioner
Versus
The Rehabilitation Officer, CIDCO & ors. : Respondents

Mr Jitendra M Pathade, for Applicant/Petitioner.
Mr Aditya R Deolekar, AGP, for Respondent – State.
Mr Aniruddha A Garge, for Respondent No.6 (NMMC).
Mr. G S Hegde, Senior Advocate, for the Respondent-CIDCO.

CORAM **M.S. Sonak &
Jitendra Jain, JJ.**
DATED: **21 February 2025**

PC:-

1. Mr. Hegde, the learned Senior Advocate for the CIDCO, on instructions, states that the Petitioner's application for regularization was rejected some time in 2016. He states that there is no provision to entertain the representations after regularization application is already rejected.
2. At this stage, the learned counsel for the Petitioner states that no rejection of Petitioner's application for regularization has been communicated to the Petitioner till date.
3. Accordingly, it will be appropriate if the CIDCO files an

affidavit placing on record the order of rejection of regularization and proof of its communication. Further, the CIDCO should also address the Petitioner's allegations that in the same locality almost 15 out of 16 stalls of BMTC's employees have been regularized.

4. Mr. Hegde states that such an affidavit will be filed and served by 07 March 2025.

5. List the matter on 13 March 2025, to be shown High on Board.

6. The protection granted by our order dated 17 February 2025 to continue till the next date.

7. The learned counsel for the Petitioner states that the 15 regularized stalls are in Sector 2, CBD Belapur, near Maruti Mandir. He states that he has any further details, he will furnish the same to the learned counsel for the CIDCO within seven days. He states that he will, in any event, give the photographs of the regularized structures to the learned counsel for the CIDCO in next seven days. If we find that the Petitioner is delaying the matter, then we will consider vacating the ad-interim order granted.

(Jitendra Jain, J)

(M.S. Sonak, J)