

Nikita

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

URMILA
PRAMOD
INGALE
Digitally signed
by URMILA
PRAMOD
INGALE
Date:
2025.10.10
18:47:14 +0530

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION NO.2 OF 2024
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.9216 OF 2021

Rajendra Bhaurao Gaikwad

...Petitioner

versus

The Pimpri Chinchwad Municipal
Corporation and Ors.

...Respondents

.....

Mr. Rajeshwar Panchal (through V. C.) for the Petitioner.

Mr. Deepak More (through V. C.) a/w Mr. Sangramsinh Yadav for the
Respondent No.1.

.....

CORAM : M.S. KARNIK &
S.G. CHAPALGAONKAR, JJ.

DATE : 8th OCTOBER, 2025.

PC:

1. Heard Mr. Panchal, learned Counsel for the Petitioner and Mr.
More for the Respondent No.1.

2. Our attention is invited to the order passed by the Hon'ble
Supreme Court in Special Leave to Appeal No.2028 of 2023 dated 6th
February, 2023 which reads as under:

*“ Upon our expressing reservations in entertaining this
petition, particularly when the contention with reference to Rule
4(2) of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Regulation of Seniority)
Rules, 1982 does not appear to have as such been urged before
the High Court, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as
per his instructions, such ground had specifically been taken*

before the High Court and was indeed urged.

With these submissions, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission for the petitioner to withdraw with liberty to file a review petition before the High Court and to approach this Court over again in case occasion so arises.

Permission granted.

This special leave petition is dismissed as withdrawn, with liberty as prayed.”

3. Having heard Mr. Panchal, we see no error apparent on the face of the record in the order to warrant interference in exercise of our review jurisdiction.
4. The review petition is dismissed.

[S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J.]

[M.S. KARNIK, J.]