

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
SECOND APPEAL NO. 503 OF 2024

Runwal Developers Pvt Ltd

..Appellant

Versus

Shanta Talukdar

...Respondent

Mr. Abir Patel, with Kartik Joshi, i/b Wadia Ghandy & Co, for the
Appellant.

Mr. Vikramjit Garewal, i/b Ruturaj V Bankar, for the Respondent.

Ms. Sujata Satish Rao, Authorized Signatory of the Appellant present
in Court.

Smt. Shanta Talukdar, the Respondent present through VC.

CORAM: N. J. JAMADAR, J.

DATE : 17th DECEMBER 2025

ORAL ORDER:

1. Heard the learned Counsel for the parties.
2. The learned Counsel for the parties submits that, the parties have amicably resolved the dispute and Consent Terms have been executed. The learned Counsel seek leave to tender the Consent Terms.

Leave granted.

3. Ms. Sujata Satish Rao, the Director of the Appellant-Company, is present before the Court. Ms. Shanta Talukdar, the Respondent is present through video conferencing. The authorized representative of the Appellant-Company and the Respondent, state that they have read

ARUN
RAMCHANDRA
SANKPAL

Digitally signed by
ARUN RAMCHANDRA
SANKPAL
Date: 2025.12.18
11:08:27 +0530

and understood the contents of the Consent Terms, and they admit the contents and execution thereof. The parties are identified by their respective Advocates. A resolution passed by the Appellant-Company is annexed to the Consent Terms.

4. It appears that the parties have amicably resolved the dispute and worked out a comprehensive settlement of the dispute. It further appears that the parties executed the Consent Terms voluntarily and there is no coercion or duress.

5. The Consent Terms are thus taken on record and marked “X”.

6. The Appeal stands allowed in accordance with the Consent Terms “X”.

7. The undertakings in the Consent Terms, “X” are accepted as undertakings to the Court.

[N. J. JAMADAR, J.]