

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

**INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 301 OF 2024.**  
**IN/WITH**  
**FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 24595 OF 2022.**

Smt. Ratna Ramchandra More And Anr.

...Applicants.

**Versus**

Union of India, Thr. The General Manager, Mumbai

...Respondent.

*Adv. Sainand Chaugule for the Applicant.*

*Adv. Niranjan Shimpi i/by Adv. Vivek Tirumala for the Respondent.*

**Coram : Sharmila U. Deshmukh, J.**

**Date : April 7, 2025.**

**P. C. :**

1. Interim Application has been preferred seeking condonation of delay of 120 days caused in preferring the Appeal.
2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that the certified copy of the impugned Judgment was received on 8<sup>th</sup> November, 2021. He would further submit that as interest on the amount of compensation was not granted an Application for review was filed, which came to be dismissed on 21<sup>st</sup> July, 2022. He submits that as the Applicant was pursuing the remedy of review, the Appeal was not filed and therefore there is delay of 120 days caused in preferring the Appeal.
3. Learned Counsel appearing for the Respondent would

oppose the Application and would submit that there is no sufficient explanation given for the delay of 120 days.

4. The Application specifically pleads that after certified copy was received, as there was no interest granted on the amount of compensation, review proceedings were initiated before the Tribunal and it is only after rejection of the Review that the present Appeal came to be filed. The explanation given in the Application is sufficient to condone the delay of 120 days and the same is condoned.

5. Interim Application is allowed.

6. List the above First Appeal on **7<sup>th</sup> May, 2025**.

**[Sharmila U. Deshmukh, J.]**