

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 8285 OF 2015

Mr. Jagannath Krishna Narvekar. ... Petitioner
Versus
Shri Ravindra Tukaram Ambre. ... Respondent.

**WITH
SECOND APPEAL NO. 216 OF 2025**

Shri Ravindra Tukaram Ambre. ... Appellant
Versus
Mr. Jagannath Krishna Narvekar. ... Respondent.

Mrs. Anjali N. Helekar, for the Petitioner in WP/8285/2015 & for Respondent in SA/216/2015.

Dr. Uday Warunjikar a/w. Mr. Sumit Kate, for Respondent in WP/8285/2015 and for Appellant in SA/216/2015.

CORAM : ASHWIN D. BHOBE, J.

DATE : 17th MARCH, 2025

P.C. :

1. Learned Advocate for the Petitioner Mrs. Anjali Neel Helekar and the learned Advocate for the Respondent Dr. Uday Warunjikar have canvassed their respective arguments. During the course of argument, learned Advocate Dr. Uday Warunjikar placed on record documents (Sale deed alongwith N.A. Permission and Plan) (9 pages). Production of the said document is not objected by the learned

Advocate for the Petitioner. The same are taken on record and marked as “X” for identification.

2. The learned Advocate for the Petitioner seeks time to take instructions on the said documents marked “X” for identification.

3. Learned Advocate for the Petitioner and learned Advocate for the Respondent state that as the matter is substantially argued and in fact, arguments have almost been concluded, they pray that the matter be treated as part heard. They pray for time to produce translation of the documents at “X” as well documents in Marathi forming part of the Petition. Learned Advocate for the Petitioner prays for time of 3 weeks.

4. In view of the above and on the request of the parties, the Petition is treated as part-heard. Stand over to 8th April, 2025 at 2 p.m. in Chamber.

5. In view of the order passed in Writ Petition No. 8285 of 2015, the Second Appeal is adjourned to 8th April, 2025.

(ASHWIN D. BHOBE, J.)