

*Shabnoor*

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CONTEMPT PETITION NO.642 OF 2024**

SHABNOOR  
AYUB  
PATHAN

Digitally signed by  
SHABNOOR AYUB  
PATHAN  
Date: 2025.12.16  
15:49:48 +0530

Vijay Sakharam Gaikar ... Petitioner  
V/s.  
Haresh K Nanwani & Ors. ... Respondents

**WITH  
CONTEMPT PETITION NO.643 OF 2024**

Akhilesh A Chaubey ... Petitioner  
V/s.  
Haresh K Nanwani & Ors. ... Respondents

**WITH  
CONTEMPT PETITION NO.644 OF 2024**

Atmaram V Kotare ... Petitioner  
V/s.  
Haresh K Nanwani & Ors. ... Respondents

**WITH  
CONTEMPT PETITION NO.645 OF 2024**

Uttam M. Chandvale ... Petitioner  
V/s.  
Haresh K Nanwani & Ors. ... Respondents

**WITH  
CONTEMPT PETITION NO.646 OF 2024**

Jadavati Gulabshankar Pande ... Petitioner  
V/s.  
Haresh K Nanwani & Ors. ... Respondents

**WITH  
CONTEMPT PETITION NO.647 OF 2024**

|                         |                 |
|-------------------------|-----------------|
| Vimal Manohar Bhartu    | ... Petitioner  |
| <b>V/s.</b>             |                 |
| Haresh K Nanwani & Ors. | ... Respondents |

**WITH  
CONTEMPT PETITION NO.648 OF 2024**

|                         |                 |
|-------------------------|-----------------|
| Bhashkar D mistry       | ... Petitioner  |
| <b>V/s.</b>             |                 |
| Haresh K Nanwani & Ors. | ... Respondents |

**WITH  
CONTEMPT PETITION NO.649 OF 2024**

|                         |                 |
|-------------------------|-----------------|
| Banpat B Asurkar        | ... Petitioner  |
| <b>V/s.</b>             |                 |
| Haresh K Nanwani & Ors. | ... Respondents |

Mr. Yuwraj Dhanraj Patil, for the Petitioner.

Mr. Rajeev Sharma, for Respondent Nos.1 to 3 in all CPs.

Ms. P. H. Kontharia, for Respondent – SRA.

Mr. A. B. Patil, Executive Engineer, R/N Ward Slum Rehabilitation Authority, is present.

**CORAM : AMIT BORKAR, J.**

**DATED : DECEMBER 16, 2025**

**P.C.:**

1. The Executive Engineer, R/N Ward, Slum Rehabilitation Authority, Brihanmumbai, is present before the Court and submits that the Mumbai Municipal Corporation has issued a

communication directing the respondent/developer to stop the work. He further states that the said communication has been issued pursuant to a letter issued by the Mumbai Municipal Corporation.

**2.** In view of the above, all the contempt petitions stand disposed of, with no further action.

**(AMIT BORKAR, J.)**