GAURI AMIT GAEKWAD
Digitally signed by GAURI AMIT GAEKWAD
Date: 2025.12.27 13:24:19 +0530

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.17667 OF 2025

Manisha Satish Mahale ….Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ….Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO.17666 OF 2025

Satish Digambar Mahale ….Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ….Respondents

Mr. Nikhil Adkine a/w. Mr. D.P. Palodkar i/b. Mr. Shubham Khoche (through video conferencing) for the Petitioners in both Petitions.
Mr. S.B. Shetye for the State Election Commission.
Mr. K. Suryakrishnamurty, Deputy Secretary, State Election Commission present.

CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.
(VACATION COURT)

DATE : 27th DECEMBER, 2025

P.C. :-

1. The Petitioner in the first Petition, bears the name Manisha, wf/o Satish Mahale. Her name can also be read as Manisha Satish Mahale. If the surname is taken first alphabetically, her name would read as Mahale Manisha Satish, also known as Mahale Manisha, wf/o Satish. Her name as recorded in the State

Assembly Electoral Roll is Manisha Satish Mahale. However, for the purpose of the Corporation Elections, her name has been wrongly mentioned in the electoral roll as Satish Mahale Manisha.

2. The Petitioner in the second Petition, bears the name Satish, s/o Digambar Mahale. His name can also be read as Satish Digambar Mahale. If the surname is taken first alphabetically, his name would read as Mahale Satish Digambar, also known as Mahale Satish, s/o Digambar. His name as recorded in the State Assembly Electoral Roll is Satish Digambar Mahale. However, for the purpose of the Corporation Elections, his name has been wrongly mentioned in the electoral roll as Digambar Mahale Satish.

3. The learned Advocate for the State Election Commission, on instructions from Mr. Suryakrishnamurty, Deputy Secretary, State Election Commission, who is present in the Court hall, submits that the Returning Officer would consider all these aspects and, after verifying the Aadhaar Card and the Legislative Assembly Electoral Roll for Constituency No.7, Dhule City, the nomination forms of both the Petitioners, namely Manisha and

Satish, may not be rejected.

4. In light of the above submission, the learned Advocate for the Petitioners, on instructions and with consent, submits that both the Petitions may be disposed off.

5. In view of the above statements, **both these Petitions are disposed off**.

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)
(VACATION COURT)