IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.17299 OF 2025

Akshaya Gas Service
Through its Proprietor
Smt. Samruddhi Sharad Jaikar … Petitioner

V/s.

Union of India & Ors. … Respondents

Mr. Subir Kumar a/w Ms. Ashita Aggarwal, Ms. Diksha Shetty i/b SDS Advocates for the Petitioner.

Mr. Suresh Kumar i/b Ms. Smita Thakur for Respondent No.1-UoI.

Mr. Ashwin Shete a/w Mr. Rohit Jain i/b Jayakar and Partners for Respondent Nos.2 to 4.

CORAM : REVATI MOHITE DERE &
SANDESH D. PATIL, JJ.

DATE : 19th DECEMBER 2025

P.C.

1) Heard learned Counsel for the Petitioner and the learned Counsel for the contesting Respondent Nos.2 to 4.

2) By this Petition, the Petitioner seeks quashing and setting aside of the impugned show-cause notice dated 15th December 2025, on the premise, that the same is illegal, arbitrary and violates the principles of natural justice.

3) Perused the papers. The show cause notice issued by the Respondent No.2 to the Petitioner is at Exhibit 'B', at page 45 of the Petition. By the said show-cause notice, the Respondent No.2 had suspended supplies under the Dealership Agreement dated 7th March 2025, with effect from the issuance of the show cause notice dated 15th December 2025. *Vide* the said show cause notice, 15 days' time has been given to the Petitioner to submit their reply to the said show cause notice, as to why further action should not be taken as per the provisions of the Dealership Agreement against the Petitioner. The Petitioner also assures to reply to the said show cause notice within 15 days from today.

4) Learned Counsel for the Respondent Nos.2 to 4, on instructions, states that the Respondents will restore the supplies to the Petitioner forthwith. Statement is accepted.

5) Considering the aforesaid, nothing survives for further consideration in the Petition. The Petition stands disposed of.

6) Needless to state that the Authority to take a decision on the show

cause notice, in accordance with law.

7) We make it clear that, in the event the Order passed by the Authority is adverse to the Petitioner, the same shall not be given effect to, for a period of one week, to enable the Petitioner to take appropriate steps.

8) At this stage, the learned Counsel for the Petitioner also assures that the Petitioner will take appropriate steps for release of the vehicles, which were seized by the Police. Statement accepted.

9) We make it clear that we have not gone into the merits of the petition and as such keep all contentions of all parties on merits, open.

(SANDESH D. PATIL, J.) (REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.)

RAJESH VASANT CHITTEWAN
Digitally signed by RAJESH VASANT CHITTEWAN
Date: 2025.12.24 18:42:18 +0530