Rekha Patil

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 6885 OF 2022
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 2325 OF 2023
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 6885 OF 2022

Shri Bhagur Parisar Mahila Udyog Sahakari Sanstha Limited Through Chaiperson ...Petitioners

Versus

Nashik Municipal Corporation Through Municipal Commissioner And Ors ...Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 6891 OF 2022
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 6886 OF 2022
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 6888 OF 2022
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.6887 OF 2022
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 6889 OF 2022
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 6890 OF 2022

Ms. Gayatri Singh, Senior Advocate with Sangram Chinnappa, for the Petitioners.

Mr. Subhash V. Gutte, for the Respondent-Corporation in WP/6885/2022, WP/6886/2022, WP/6887/2022, WP/6888/2022, WP/6889/2022, WP/6890/2022 & WP/6891/2022.

Mr. Sachin S. Gite a/w Sarfaraj J. Shaikh, for the Respondent Nos. 18 & 19.

Mr. Rahul Motkari a/w Manasi Pawar, for the Respondent No.22 in IA/2325/2023 in WP/6885/2022.

Ms. Sayali S. Gutte, for the Respondents in IA/2325/2023.

REKHA PRAKASH PATIL

Digitally signed by REKHA PRAKASH PATIL
Date: 2025.12.10 10:57:36 +0530

Ms. Neha Bhide, GP with Mr. O. A. Chandurkar, Addl. G. P., with Ms. Rupali Shinde, AGP, for the Respondent-State, in WP/6886/2022, WP/6888/2022.

Ms. Neha Bhide, GP with Mr. B. V. Samant, Addl. G. P., with Ms. Reena Salunkhe, AGP, for the Respondent-State, in WP/6885/2022, WP/6890/2022, WP/6891/2022, WP/6887/2022.

CORAM: SUMAN SHYAM &
S. M. MODAK, JJ.

DATED: 9th DECEMBER, 2025.

PC:-

1. Ms. Gayatri Singh, learned Senior Counsel appearing for the Writ Petitioners / Applicant in this batch of Writ Petitions, submits that when the proceedings were initiated, at that time, the Petitioners were aggrieved by the Clauses in the EOI as well as the manner of the implementation of the EOI. However, as on date, the period of the contract has already expired.

2. She submits, that although, the contract period has expired, yet, Petitioners desire to pursue the allegation of malpractices in the award of the contract. As such, she seeks leave of this Court to withdraw these proceedings with liberty to avail appropriate remedy, as may be permissible under the law, including lodging complaint before the State's Vigilance Department. Prayer is allowed. The Writ Petitions are permitted to be withdrawn.

3. We make it clear that if bonafide complaint is lodged by the Petitioners before the Competent Authority, the same be considered in accordance with law.

4. All the Writ Petitions stand disposed of as withdrawn.

5. In view of disposal of the Writ Petitions, Interim Application(s) also stand disposed of.

(S. M. MODAK, J.) (SUMAN SHYAM, J.)