

Iresh

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIRCUIT BENCH AT KOLHAPUR

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO. 2621 OF 2025

Rashtrapati @ Maruti Yuvraj
Patil and anr

.....Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra

.....Respondent

Ms. Rati Sinhasane i/b Mr. Abhishek Ingale for the applicants
Dr. Ashvini Takalkar APP for the State
Mr. Narayan T. Garde, HC 2599, Kavthe Mahakal Police Station

CORAM : SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.

DATE : 20th AUGUST 2025

P.C.:

1. By this application, applicant is seeking regular bail in C.R. No. 103 2021 registered with Kavathe Mahankal Police Station, District Sangli for offences punishable under Sections 307, 120-B, 323, 504, 506 of the Indian Penal Code, Sections 4, 25 of the

2. It is the prosecution's case that on 6th March 2021 around 9.00 a.m., the applicants and co-accused assaulted first

IRESH
MASHAL

Digitally
signed by
IRESH
MASHAL
Date:
2025.08.22
10:48:50
+0530

informant's cousin with kukri i.e. sharp weapon with an intention to kill him.

3. It is the contention of learned counsel for applicants that applicants are behind bar for more than four years. Out of 22 witnesses, 9 witnesses have been examined. It may take time to conclude the trial. Hence, requested to allow the application.

4. It is the contention of learned APP that applicants assaulted cousin of the first informant with kukri with an intention to kill him. They assaulted on head of the victim. The injury certificate produced on record shows that the injured had sustained two grievous injuries and simple injuries. It shows that applicant had intention to kill the injured witness. Learned APP further submits that trial is in progress. Out of 22 witnesses, 9 witnesses have been examined and within a short time, trial will be concluded. If applicants are released on bail, they may threaten prosecution witnesses. The trial is delayed due to non cooperation of the advocate for the applicants. Hence, requested to reject the application.

5. I have heard both learned counsel, perused F.I.R. and

documents produced on record. Applicants are behind the bar for more than 4 years. Out of 22 witnesses, 9 witnesses have been examined. The learned Sessions Court has rejected the bail application of the applicants on the ground that trial is in progress. In my view, applicants are behind the bar for more than 4 years. They have no criminal antecedents. It may take time to conclude the trial. Though four years have been passed, trial is not concluded.

6. Considering these facts, further detention of applicant is not required and I pass following order :

ORDER

I. Application is allowed.

II. Applicants be enlarged on bail in C.R. No. 103 2021 registered with Kavathe Mahankal Police Station, District Sangli on executing P.R. Bond in the sum of Rs.30,000/- each with one or two sureties in the like amount.

III. Applicants shall not tamper with the evidence or attempt to influence or contact the witnesses or any person concerned with the case.

IV. Applicants shall attend the Trial Court dates,

regularly.

7. The application is allowed in the aforesaid terms and is accordingly disposed off.

8. It is made clear that the above observations are made only for the purpose of granting bail and the Trial Court shall decide the case on its own merits in accordance with law and uninfluenced by the observations made in this order.

9. All concerned to act on the authenticated copy of this order.

[SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.]