

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIRCUIT BENCH AT KOLHAPUR
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 526 OF 2024

Madhav Savba Satadekar & Ors. ... Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ... Respondents

**ALONG WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 882 OF 2023**

Ghanshyam Narayan Pauskar & Ors. ... Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents

.....
Mr. Saurish Shetye (through VC) a/w Devendra Agarwal i/b Prem Kumar
R. Pandey for the applicants

Mr. Onkar Bajaj for the respondent no.2

Mr. A.A. Naik, APP for the respondent - State.

.....
**Coram : M. S. Karnik &
Sharmila U. Deshmukh, JJ.**

Date : October 03, 2025.

P. C. :

1. By these applications, the applicants seek quashing of FIR No. 291 of 2021 dated 19th October 2021 registered with Chandgad Police Station, Dist. Kolhapur for the offences punishable under Sections 143, 147, 149, 504 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code lodged at the behest of

the respondent no.2, Chargesheet dated 11th July 2022 and RCC No. 109 of 2023. In the Chargesheet, additional section 323 of IPC has been added.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the respondent no.2 – first informant tenders an affidavit of respondent no.2 giving his unequivocal consent for quashing of the FIR, Chargesheet and RCC No. 109 of 2023, pending on the file of JMFC, Chandgad. He submits that during the pendency of the proceedings, parties have amicably settled the dispute and the complainant does not wish to continue with the criminal proceedings. Respondent no.2 who is present in person, reiterates the contents of consent affidavit. His identity is verified by his counsel.

3. We have perused the FIR as well as the Medical Certificate, which show that the injuries are simple in nature. The parties are from the same village and the altercation took place during a meeting held in regard to the election of President of *Tanta Mukta Samiti*. Considering that the parties are now amicably settled their disputes, it would be futile exercise to continue with the criminal proceedings. In the present case, it is better to put a quietus to the dispute, considering that the applicant as well as respondent no.2 are from the same village and have resolved their

disputes. In such view of the matter, chances of the conviction are weak as the complainant would not be willing to lead evidence against the applicants.

4. We are supported by the decision of the Hon'ble Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab (2012) 10 SCC 303*. In light of the above, the applications are allowed. The FIR No. 291 of 2021, the Chargesheet and RCC/109/2023 pending on file of C.J.J.D. & J.M.F.C., Chandgad are quashed and set aside.

5. The Criminal Applications are disposed of in the above terms.

[Sharmila U. Deshmukh, J.]

[M. S. Karnik]