

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIRCUIT BENCH AT KOLHAPUR

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 8333 OF 2024

Sangram Pandurang Tikode ... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra And Ors. ... Respondents

Mr. Prashant Bhavake for the Petitioner.

Ms. Veera Shinde AGP for the Respondent-State.

Mr. Utkarsh Desai for the Respondent Nos. 6 and 7.

Coram : M. S. Karnik &
Sharmila U. Deshmukh, JJ.

Date : September 29, 2025.

ORDER (Per Sharmila U. Deshmukh, J.) :

1. The Petition challenges the order dated 23rd October, 2023, rejecting the proposal submitted by the Respondent Nos. 6 and 7 seeking approval to the appointment of Petitioner as Shikshan Sevak since 15th June, 2017 by listing the deficiencies noted by the Respondent No. 5 in the said proposal.

2. Mr. Bhavake, learned Advocate for the Petitioner submits that the proposal came to be rejected by merely listing the deficiency and without

giving an opportunity to cure deficiencies.

3. Ms. Shinde, learned AGP would draw attention of this Court to the affidavit-in-reply which was filed on behalf of the Respondent No. 5 and would submit that as the error was found in the document and information, the proposal came to be rejected.

4. In event, there was any deficiency in the proposal and error in the documents the appropriate course to be followed by the Respondent No. 5 was to communicate the said deficiencies to the Respondent Nos. 6 and 7 and give them chance to cure the same which has not been done in the present case.

5. We are therefore inclined to direct that the impugned order dated 23rd October, 2023, be treated as show cause notice to the Respondent Nos. 6 and 7 and opportunity be given to the Respondent Nos. 6 and 7 to cure the deficiencies and submit the necessary documents. The deficiencies to be cured by Respondent Nos. 6 and 7 within a period of two weeks from today. In event there are any further deficiencies noted by the Respondent No. 5, the same to be communicated to the Respondent Nos. 6 and 7 expeditiously. Upon considering the material placed on record, an appropriate decision be taken by the Respondent No. 5 within a period of 8 weeks from today.

6. Writ Petition stands disposed of.

7. In event approval is granted by the Education Officer, the Respondent No. 4 to grant Shalarth ID to the Petitioner expeditiously.

[Sharmila U. Deshmukh, J.]

[M. S. Karnik, J.]

TALLE
SHUBHAM
ASHOKRAO

Digitally signed by
TALLE SHUBHAM
ASHOKRAO

Date: 2025.09.30
14:13:44 +0530