

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIRCUIT BENCH AT KOLHAPUR
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 9217 OF 2023

Anil Tanaji Jadhav.

...Petitioner.

Versus

The Union of India and Others.

...Respondents.

*Mr. Rajaram V. Bansode (thr. V.C.) and Mohan M. Chavan for the Petitioner.
Mr. Vijay Killedar for the Respondent-Union of India.*

**Coram : M. S. Karnik &
Ajit B. Kadethankar, JJ.**

Date : December 9, 2025.

P. C. :

1. Heard learned Counsel for the Petitioner .
2. The Petitioner prays for following substantive reliefs :

“(b) this Hon’ble Court may be pleased to quash and set aside the impugned decision of Committee if any whereby Petitioner was declared as unfit for appointment on compassionate grounds and his case is recommended for rejection and removal from the list.

(c) That this Hon’ble Court may be please to declare that the Petitioner is eligible candidate for employment on compassionate ground and direct the Respondent-Authorities to appoint the Petitioner in service in Respondent-Authorities forthwith in suitable post considering the educational qualification of Petitioner.”

3. Considering the reliefs prayed in this petition, we are more than satisfied that said reliefs squarely fall within the domain of Central Administrative Tribunal constituted under the Administrative Tribunals

Act, 1985. We are therefore not inclined to entertain this writ petition, though Mr. Bansode, learned Counsel for the Petitioner insisted that this Court should consider the present petition on merits.

4. Keeping the liberty open for the Petitioner to approach the Central Administrative Tribunal, petition is disposed of.

5. Time spent in prosecuting this petition being *bona fide*, if the question of delay in filing OA before the CAT arises, same be considered sympathetically.

[Ajit B. Kadethankar, J.]

[M. S. Karnik, J.]