

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIRCUIT BENCH AT KOLHAPUR
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 395 OF 2024**

Paresh Vilasrao Patil ...Applicant
Versus
State of Maharashtra And Anr. ...Respondents

Mr. Satyavrat Joshi a/w Mr. Yash Fadtare, Advocate for the Applicant
Dr. A. A. Takalkar, APP for the Respondent – State.
Dy.S.P. Mr. Sandeep Kamat. CID, Satara, present.

CORAM : SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.
DATE : 13th OCTOBER, 2025.

P.C.

1. Heard learned counsel for applicant and learned APP for the Respondent-State.
2. Learned counsel for applicant on instructions seeks leave to withdraw this revision application.
3. Learned counsel for the applicant further submitted that the trial court be directed to dispose off the trial, without influencing the observations of this court (**Coram : Smt. Sadhana S. Jadhav, J.**) dated 07th September, 2016 in Anticipatory Bail Application No.1208 of 2016. Learned counsel further submitted that the applicant and his wife, who is co-accused are Doctors and they need to attend their hospital everyday, directions be given to the trial Court to exempt the

applicant and his wife from appearance before the trial Court till conclusion of the trial, unless their presence is required. If trial Court requires their presence, they will appear before the trial Court as and when required.

4. Learned APP submits that the personal exemptions cannot be given to the applicant and his wife as they are accused and their presence is required before the trial Court.

5. I have heard both the learned counsels. It appears from the record that the applicant runs hospital with his wife. The observations of this Court are in respect of application filed by the accused No.1 Vikas Ganpat Dhas. The trial Court shall not be influenced by the said observations against the present applicant and his wife and conclude the trial on its own merits and on the basis of evidence produced before the trial Court.

6. The trial Court shall consider granting permanent exemption to the applicant and his wife as their role is limited and they cannot be dragged to attend the trial Court dates with co-accused and the trial Court shall call the applicant and his wife as and when required.

7. The applicant and his wife can file an application before the trial Court for granting permanent exemption under Section 205 of

Cr.P.C.. The trial Court shall decide the said application as early as possible.

8. In view of the above and considering the submission of learned counsel for applicant, Application is allowed to be withdrawn with aforesaid directions.

9. Revision Application is dismissed and disposed of as withdrawn.

(SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.)