

the statement of eye witnesses, name of the applicant No.2 is involved in this crime.

3. It is contention of learned counsel for applicants that allegations against the applicant No.1 are that he assaulted the witness Ganesh with fist and kick blows and no name of the applicant No.2 is mentioned in the FIR. Considering the allegations against them, their custodial interrogation is not required and requested to allow the application.

4. It is contention of learned APP that applicants along with co-accused with common intention brutally assaulted the first informant's son along with his friend. Due to said assault, hand of the friend of first informant's son is fractured. Considering the allegations against the applicant, their custodial interrogation is required. Hence, requested to reject the application.

5. I have heard both the learned counsels, perused the FIR and documents placed on record. The allegations against the applicant No.1 that he assaulted the witness Ganesh with fist and kick blows. No name of the applicant No.2 is mentioned in the FIR. In investigation, name of the applicant No.2 is added in the present crime. Considering these facts, I pass the following order.

ORDER

- (i) Application is allowed;
- (ii) In the event of arrest, the applicants be enlarged on bail in Crime No.286 of 2025 registered with Vaduj Police Station, Dist. Satara, on executing P.R.Bond in the sum of Rs.20,000/- each with one or two sureties in the like amount;
- (iii) The applicants shall attend the concerned police station as and when required.

6. The application is allowed in the aforesaid terms and is accordingly disposed off.

7. It is made clear that the above observations are made only for the purpose of granting anticipatory bail and the Trial Court shall decide the case on its own merits in accordance with law and uninfluenced by the observations made in this order.

8. All concerned to act on the authenticated copy of this order.

(SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.)