

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CIRCUIT BENCH AT KOLHAPUR  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO. 3567 OF 2025**

|                          |               |
|--------------------------|---------------|
| Rameshwar Dattatray Baad | ...Applicant  |
| Versus                   |               |
| The State of Maharashtra | ...Respondent |

.....

Mr. Rahul Dhaigude a/w Ms. Sujata Lohar and Mr. Sachin S. Sargar,  
Advocate for Applicant.

Mr. P. P. Deokar, APP for the Respondent-State.

.....

|              |          |                                       |
|--------------|----------|---------------------------------------|
| <b>CORAM</b> | <b>:</b> | <b>SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.</b>             |
| <b>DATE</b>  | <b>:</b> | <b>18<sup>th</sup> DECEMBER, 2025</b> |

**P.C.**

1. By this application, applicant is seeking regular bail in Crime No.190 of 2024 registered with Sangola Police Station, District Solapur Rural for the offences punishable under Sections 304, 420, 436, 337, 338, 285, 286, 120-B, 511, 201, 109 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short "IPC") and Sections 3, 4 & 6 of the Explosive Substance Act, 1908.

2. It is prosecution's case that the applicant was the owner of tyre shop. He was having insurance of the said tyre shop. To grab the said insurance, with the help of co-accused committed explosion in

his shop. In the said explosion Atul Baad died on the spot and Deepak Kute died after some days while taking treatment.

3. It is contention of learned counsel for applicant that the explosion occurred accidental. There was no intention of the applicant to grab insurance policy. The main allegations are against the co-accused Nitin Narale and insurance policy was in his name. He has been released on anticipatory bail. The applicant is behind bar for more than 20 month. There is no progress in trial. Hence, requested to allow the application.

4. It is contention of learned APP that the applicant intentionally made explosion in his tyre shop to grab the insurance amount. In the said explosion, two people died. If applicant is released on bail, he may abscond or threaten or prosecution witnesses. Hence, requested to reject the application.

5. I have heard both the learned counsels, perused the FIR and documents placed on record. The co-accused in whose name insurance policy of the said shop was issued has been released on anticipatory bail. The applicant is behind bar for more than 20 months. There is no progress in trial. The applicant has no antecedents. It may take time to conclude the trial. Considering these facts, his further detention is not required.

6. In view of the above, I pass the following order :

**ORDER**

(i) Application is allowed;

(ii) The applicant be enlarged on bail in Crime No.190 of 2024 registered with Sangola Police Station, District Solapur Rural, on executing P.R.Bond in the sum of Rs.20,000/- with one or two sureties in the like amount.

(iii) The applicant shall not tamper with the evidence or attempt to influence or contact the witnesses or any person concerned with the case.

(iv) The applicant shall attend the Trial Court dates, regularly.

7. The application is allowed in the aforesaid terms and is accordingly disposed off.

8. It is made clear that the above observations are made only for the purpose of granting bail and the Trial Court shall decide the case on its own merits in accordance with law and uninfluenced by the observations made in this order.

9. All concerned to act on the authenticated copy of this order.

(SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.)