

for doing prostitution.

3. It is contention of learned counsel for the applicants that the applicants are behind bar for more than 2 months. Investigation is almost completed. Hence requested to allow the application.

4. It is contention of learned APP that the statement of the victim is recorded. She has stated that the applicants forced her for doing prostitution. Applicants are the owner and manager of the lodge where prostitution was going on. If the applicants released on bail, they may threaten the prosecution witnesses and requested to reject the application.

5. I have heard both learned counsel, perused the FIR and documents produced on record.

6. It appears from the record that the investigation is almost completed. Applicants are behind bar more than 2 months. Considering these facts I pass the following order :

ORDER

I. Application is allowed.

II. The applicants Shri. Mahesh Pralhad Awate and Shri. Nagesh Raju Hanchate be enlarged on bail in

Crime crime no. 274 of 2025 registered with Barshi Taluka Police Station, Dist- Solapur on executing P.R.Bond in the sum of Rs.20,000/- each with one or two sureties in the like amount.

III. The applicant shall not indulge in similar nature of offences. If the applicant, indulges in such acts, it would be a ground to cancel his bail.

IV. The applicants shall not tamper with the evidence or attempt to influence or contact the complainant, witnesses or any person concerned with the case.

7. The application is allowed in the aforesaid terms and is accordingly disposed off.

8. It is made clear that the above observations are made only for the purpose of granting bail and the Trial Court shall decide the case on its own merits in accordance with law and uninfluenced by the observations made in this order.

9. All concerned to act on the authenticated copy of this order.

[SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.]