

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIRCUIT BENCH AT KOLHAPUR
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 16055 OF 2024
IN
FIRST APPEAL(ST) NO. 29103 OF 2023

State Of Maharashtra Through
The Executive Engineer And Anr. ...Applicants

Versus

Sopan Baba Pawar Deceased
Through His Lrs. Sopan B Pawar And Anr. ...Respondents

WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 11261 OF 2025
IN
FIRST APPEAL(ST) NO. 29103 OF 2023

Sopan Baba Pawar Deceased
Through His Lrs. Sopan B Pawar And Anr. ...Applicants

Versus

State Of Maharashtra Through
The Executive Engineer And Anr. ...Respondents

IRESH
MASHAL

Digitally
signed by
IRESH
MASHAL
Date:
2025.12.22
15:49:00
+0530

Mr. Vaibhav R. Gaikwad in IA 11261/2025
Ms. P. S. Rane AGP for the State 16055/2024

CORAM : SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.
DATE : 17th DECEMBER, 2025.

P.C.

INTERIM APPLICATION
NO. 16055 OF 2024:

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicant-State. By this

application, the applicant is seeking condonation of delay of 307 days in filing the appeal.

2. Considering the submissions of the learned counsel for the applicant and the reasons mentioned in the application, the delay of 307 days is condoned. The application is allowed and disposed of.

3. The Appeal be registered.

INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 11261 OF 2025:

4. Heard learned counsel for the applicant and learned counsel for the respondent-State.

5. It is contention of learned counsel for applicant that the land of the applicant is acquired by the respondent-State. The respondent-State has deposited entire enhanced amount. The applicant needs the amount for his daily expenses. Hence, requested to allow the application.

6. Learned counsel for the respondent-State objected to allow the application on the ground that Reference Court has awarded exorbitant and excessive compensation. Hence, requested to reject the application.

7. I have heard both learned counsels. Considering the

submissions of both the counsels as well as land of the applicant is already acquired, the grounds raised by the respondent-State can be considered at the time of final hearing of the appeal. Hence, I pass following order:

ORDER

- I. The application is allowed.
- II. The applicants are permitted to withdraw 50% amount alongwith accrued interest thereon out of the deposited amount on furnishing usual undertaking.
- III. Allowing 50% withdrawal of amount does not mean that the Court is considering the claim of the respondents made before the Reference Court.
8. The application is disposed off in above terms.

(SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.)