

Shailaja

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIRCUIT BENCH AT KOLHAPUR**

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.12463 OF 2025

Navnath Madhukar Zol	]	Petitioner
versus		
State of Maharashtra and others	]	Respondents

.....

Mr. Madhav Thorat a/w Ms. Vedantika Naik, for Petitioner.

Mr. R.P. Kadam "B" Panel Counsel, for Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 – State.

Mr. Shardul Diwan (through V.C.), for Respondent No.4.

.....

**CORAM : M.S. KARNIK &
AJIT B. KADETHANKAR, JJ.**

DATE : 28th NOVEMBER, 2025.

P.C:

1. Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner.

 2. By this petition preferred under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner seeks a direction to the respondent No.2 – District Collector, Solapur to forthwith conclude the proceeding i.e Complaint/Dispute No.74 of 2023, preferred by the petitioner.

 3. Request made by the learned Counsel for the petitioner is reasonable.
- Hence, we direct the respondent No.2 – District Collector, Solapur to conclude

the proceeding i.e Complaint/Dispute No.74 of 2023 preferred by the petitioner, as expeditiously as possible and, in any event, within a period of four weeks from the date of communication of this order.

4. We are informed that the District Collector has concluded hearing and the matter is reserved for orders.

5. It is made clear that we have not observed anything on merits of the case.

6. The petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms. No order as to costs.

[AJIT B. KADETHANKAR, J.]

[M.S. KARNIK, J.]